

Lemma 1.63

In the k -th step of the CG method assume that $r_{k-1} \neq 0$. Then

- (a) $p_{k-1} \neq 0$
- (b) $\mathcal{K}_k(A, r_0) = \text{span}(r_0, \dots, r_{k-1}) = \text{span}(p_0, \dots, p_{k-1})$
- (c) $\forall j = 0, 1, \dots, k-1 : (r_k, p_j) = 0$
- (d) $\forall j = 0, 1, \dots, k-1 : (p_k, p_j)_A = 0$

Proof by induction.

For $k = 1$ the statements are trivial or follow from (20), (21).

Suppose that (a)–(d) hold for k and assume that $r_k \neq 0$.

$$r_k = r_{k-1} - \alpha_k A p_{k-1} \in \mathcal{K}_k(A, r_0) + A(\mathcal{K}_k(A, r_0)) \subset \mathcal{K}_{k+1}(A, r_0).$$

Proof of (a) and (b).

From (b) and (c) we know that $r_k \perp \mathcal{K}_k(A, r_0)$, which implies that

$$\mathcal{K}_k(A, r_0) \subsetneq \text{span}(r_0, \dots, r_k) \subset \mathcal{K}_{k+1}(A, r_0).$$

However, $\dim(\mathcal{K}_{k+1}(A, r_0)) = \dim(\mathcal{K}_k(A, r_0)) + 1$. Therefore,

$$\text{span}(r_0, \dots, r_k) = \mathcal{K}_{k+1}(A, r_0).$$

From the definition of the algorithm we know that $r_k = p_k - \beta_{k-1} p_{k-1}$. Hence,

$$\text{span}(p_0, \dots, p_k) = \text{span}(p_0, \dots, p_{k-1}, r_k) \stackrel{(b)}{=} \text{span}(r_0, \dots, r_k).$$

This means, (a) and (b) hold for $k+1$.

Proof of (c).

From formula (20) we know that $(r_{k+1}, p_j) = 0$ for $j = k$. For $j < k$,

$$(r_{k+1}, p_j) = (r_k - \alpha_k A p_k, p_j) = (r_k, p_j) - \alpha_k (A p_k, p_j) \stackrel{(c),(d)}{=} 0.$$

Thus, $r_{k+1} \perp \text{span}(p_0, \dots, p_k) = \mathcal{K}_{k+1}(A, r_0)$ and so (c) holds for $k+1$.

Proof of (d).

From formula (21) we know that $(A p_{k+1}, p_j) = 0$ for $j = k$. For $j < k$,

$$(A p_{k+1}, p_j) = (p_{k+1}, A p_j) = \underbrace{(r_{k+1}, A p_j)}_{=0} + \beta_k \underbrace{(p_k, A p_j)}_{\stackrel{(d)}{=} 0} = 0.$$

This means (d) holds for $k+1$, which completes the proof. \square