Axioms of adaptivity Part I

Rainer Schneckenleitner

Institute of Computational Mathematics (NUMA) Johannes Kepler University Linz (JKU) Austria

January 7, 2020 - Linz, Austria









Notation & Assumptions

Abstract framework











Notation & Assumptions

O Abstract framework





- \mathcal{X} is a vector space
- \blacksquare \mathcal{T}_0 is an initial shape-regular triangulation
- $\blacksquare \ \mathbb{T} := \{\mathcal{T} : \mathcal{T} \text{ is an admissible refinement of } \mathcal{T}_0\}$

$$\mathbb{T}(N) := \{ \mathcal{T} \in \mathbb{T} : |\mathcal{T}| - |\mathcal{T}_0| \le N \}$$

- Each $T \in T$ is split into $C_{son} \ge 2$ elements in case of refinement
- Each $\mathcal{T} \in \mathbb{T}$ induces a finite dimensional space $\mathcal{X}(\mathcal{T})$
 - There exists a solver

$$U(\cdot):\mathbb{T}\to\mathcal{X}(\cdot)$$

which provides an approximation $U(\mathcal{T}) \in \mathcal{X}(\mathcal{T})$ to a limit

$$u \in \mathcal{X}$$

 $\blacksquare \ \mathcal{T} \in \mathcal{T} \text{ admits a refinement indicator}$

$$\eta_T(\mathcal{T}; \cdot) : \mathcal{X}(\mathcal{T}) \to [0, \infty)$$

The summation of $\eta_{\mathcal{T}}$ over all $\mathcal{T} \in \mathcal{T}$ gives the global error estimator

$$\eta(\mathcal{T}; V)^2 := \sum_{\mathcal{T} \in \mathcal{T}} \eta_{\mathcal{T}}(\mathcal{T}; V)^2$$

for all $V \in \mathcal{X}(\mathcal{T})$

We assume the existence of an error measure $d[\mathcal{T}; \cdot, \cdot]$ in $\mathcal{X} \cup \mathcal{X}(\mathcal{T})$ which fulfills = $d[\mathcal{T}; v, w] \ge 0$, = $d[\mathcal{T}; v, w] \le C_{\Delta}d[\mathcal{T}; w, v]$ = $C_{\Delta}^{-1}d[\mathcal{T}; v, y] \le d[\mathcal{T}; v, w] + d[\mathcal{T}; w, y]$ for all $v, w, y \in \mathcal{X} \cup \mathcal{X}(\mathcal{T}), C_{\Delta} > 0$

www.numa.uni-linz.ac.at

Nu<u>Ma</u>_J**⊻U**

Mathematics



For a refinement $\widehat{\mathcal{T}} \in \mathbb{T}$ of $\mathcal{T} \in \mathbb{T}$, $d[\widehat{\mathcal{T}}; \cdot, \cdot]$ is well-defined on $\mathcal{X} \cup \mathcal{X}(\mathcal{T}) \cup \mathcal{X}(\widehat{\mathcal{T}})$ with

$$\mathrm{d}[\widehat{\mathcal{T}}; v, w] = \mathrm{d}[\mathcal{T}; v, w]$$

for all $v \in \mathcal{X}, w \in \mathcal{X}(\mathcal{T})$

For each $\varepsilon > 0$ there exists a refinement $\widehat{\mathcal{T}} \in \mathbb{T}$ of $\mathcal{T} \in \mathbb{T}$ such that

$$\mathrm{d}[\widehat{\mathcal{T}}; u, U(\widehat{\mathcal{T}})] \leq \varepsilon$$

 \blacksquare θ is a bulk parameter with

$$0 < \theta \leq 1$$

 $\theta = 1$: uniform refinement







Abstract framework

3 The axioms



Adaptive algorithm (Alg. 1)

INPUT: \mathcal{T}_0 and θ

- For $\ell=0,1,2,\dots$ do
 - I Compute discrete approximation $U(\mathcal{T}_\ell)$
 - **2** Compute refinement indicators $\eta_T(\mathcal{T}_\ell : U(\mathcal{T}_\ell))$ for all $T \in \mathcal{T}_\ell$
 - **3** Determine set $\mathcal{M}_\ell \subseteq \mathcal{T}_\ell$ of (almost) minimal cardinality such that

$$heta\eta(\mathcal{T}_\ell; \mathit{U}(\mathcal{T}_\ell))^2 \leq \sum_{\mathcal{T}\in\mathcal{M}_\ell} \eta_\mathcal{T}(\mathcal{T}_\ell; \mathit{U}(\mathcal{T}_\ell))^2$$

 $\blacksquare \text{ Refine (at least) the marked elements } T \in \mathcal{M}_{\ell} \text{ to generate the triangulation } \mathcal{T}_{\ell+1}$

DUTPUT: Discrete approximations $U(\mathcal{T}_{\ell})$ and error estimators $\eta(\mathcal{T}_{\ell} : U(\mathcal{T}_{\ell}))$ for all $\ell \in \mathbb{N}_0 := 0, 1, 2, 3, ...$



Conditions on the mesh

The used mesh-refinement strategy has to satisfy

$$|\mathcal{T} \setminus \widehat{\mathcal{T}}| \le |\widehat{\mathcal{T}}| - |\mathcal{T}|, \tag{1}$$

$$|\mathcal{T}_{\ell+1}| - |\mathcal{T}_{\ell}| \le (\mathcal{C}_{son} - 1)|\mathcal{T}_{\ell}| \text{ for all } \ell \in \mathbb{N},$$
(2)

$$|\mathcal{T}_{\ell}| - |\mathcal{T}_{0}| \le C_{mesh} \sum_{k=0}^{\ell-1} |\mathcal{M}_{k}| \text{ for all } \ell \in \mathbb{N}$$
 (3)

for all refinements $\widehat{\mathcal{T}} \in \mathbb{T}$ of $\mathcal{T} \in \mathbb{T}$, some \mathbb{T} -dependent constant $C_{mesh} > 0$ and for any two meshes $\mathcal{T}, \mathcal{T}' \in \mathbb{T}$ there is a coarsest common refinement $\mathcal{T} \oplus \mathcal{T}' \in \mathbb{T}$ such that

$$|\mathcal{T} \oplus \mathcal{T}'| \le |\mathcal{T}| + |\mathcal{T}'| - |\mathcal{T}_0| \tag{4}$$







Abstract framework





Set of axioms

(A1) Stability

- (A2) Reduction property
- (A3) General quasi-orthogonality
- (A4) Discrete reliability



Stability on non-refined element domains: For all refinements $\widehat{\mathcal{T}} \in \mathbb{T}$ of a triangulation $\mathcal{T} \in \mathbb{T}$, for all subsets $\mathcal{S} \subseteq \mathcal{T} \cap \widehat{\mathcal{T}}$ of non-refined element domains and for all $V \in \mathcal{X}(\mathcal{T})$, $\widehat{V} \in \mathcal{X}(\widehat{\mathcal{T}})$, it holds that

$$\left| \left(\sum_{\mathcal{T} \in \mathcal{S}} \eta_{\mathcal{T}}(\widehat{\mathcal{T}}; \widehat{V})^2 \right)^{1/2} - \left(\sum_{\mathcal{T} \in \mathcal{S}} \eta_{\mathcal{T}}(\mathcal{T}; V)^2 \right)^{1/2} \right| \le C_{stab} \mathrm{d}[\widehat{\mathcal{T}}; \widehat{V}, V]$$
(A1)

with a constant $C_{stab} > 0$.



Reduction property (A2)

Reduction property on refined element domains: Any refinement $\widehat{\mathcal{T}} \in \mathbb{T}$ of a triangulation $\mathcal{T} \in \mathbb{T}$ satisfies

$$\sum_{\mathcal{T}\in\widehat{\mathcal{T}}\setminus\mathcal{T}}\eta_{\mathcal{T}}(\widehat{\mathcal{T}};U(\widehat{\mathcal{T}}))^{2} \leq \rho_{red} \sum_{\mathcal{T}\in\mathcal{T}\setminus\widehat{\mathcal{T}}}\eta_{\mathcal{T}}(\mathcal{T};U(\mathcal{T}))^{2} + C_{red}\mathrm{d}[\widehat{\mathcal{T}};U(\widehat{\mathcal{T}}),U(\mathcal{T})] \quad (A2)$$

with $C_{red} > 0$ and $0 < \rho_{red} < 1$.



General quasi-orthogonality (A3)

General quasi-orthogonality: There exist constants

$$0 \leq \varepsilon_{qo} < \varepsilon_{qo}^*(\theta) := \sup_{\delta > 0} \frac{1 - (1 + \delta)(1 - (1 - \rho_{red})\theta)}{C_{rel}^2(C_{red} + (1 + \delta^{-1})C_{stab}^2)}$$

and $C_{qo}(\varepsilon_{qo}) \ge 1$ such that the output of Alg. 1 satisfies, for all $\ell, N \in \mathbb{N}_0$ with $N \ge \ell$, that

$$\sum_{k=\ell}^{N} \left(\mathrm{d}[\mathcal{T}_{k+1}; U(\mathcal{T}_{k+1}), U(\mathcal{T}_{k})]^{2} - \varepsilon_{qo} \mathrm{d}[\mathcal{T}_{k}; u, U(\mathcal{T}_{k})]^{2} \right) \\ \leq C_{qo}(\varepsilon_{qo}) \eta(\mathcal{T}_{\ell}; U(\mathcal{T}_{\ell}))^{2}.$$
(A3)



Discrete reliability (A4)

Discrete reliability: For all refinements $\widehat{\mathcal{T}} \in \mathbb{T}$ of a triangulation $\mathcal{T} \in \mathbb{T}$, there exists a subset $\mathcal{R}(\mathcal{T},\widehat{\mathcal{T}}) \subseteq \mathcal{T}$ and constants $C_{ref}, C_{drel} > 0$ with $\mathcal{T} \setminus \widehat{\mathcal{T}} \subseteq \mathcal{R}(\mathcal{T},\widehat{\mathcal{T}})$ and $|\mathcal{R}(\mathcal{T},\widehat{\mathcal{T}})| \leq C_{ref} |\mathcal{T} \setminus \widehat{\mathcal{T}}|$ such that

$$d[\widehat{\mathcal{T}}; U(\widehat{\mathcal{T}}), U(\mathcal{T})]^2 \le C_{drel}^2 \sum_{\mathcal{T} \in \mathcal{R}(\mathcal{T}, \widehat{\mathcal{T}})} \eta_{\mathcal{T}}(\mathcal{T}; U(\mathcal{T}))^2.$$
(A4)



Discrete reliability implies reliability

Lemma

Discrete reliability (A4) implies reliability in the sense that any triangulation $T \in \mathbb{T}$ satisfies with $C_{rel} = C_{\Delta}C_{drel}$

$$d[\mathcal{T}; u, U(\mathcal{T})] \le C_{rel}\eta(\mathcal{T}; U(\mathcal{T})).$$
(5)



Quasi-monotonicity of the error estimator

Lemma

Stability (A1), reduction (A2), and discrete reliability (A4) imply quasi-monotonicity, i.e., there exists a constant $C_{mon} > 0$ such that all refinements $\widehat{\mathcal{T}} \in \mathbb{T}$ of $\mathcal{T} \in \mathbb{T}$ satisfy

$$\eta(\widehat{\mathcal{T}}; U(\widehat{\mathcal{T}})) \le C_{mon} \eta(\mathcal{T}; U(\mathcal{T})).$$
(6)



Quasi-monotonicity of the error estimator

_emma

Stability (A1), reduction (A2), reliability (5) and a Céa type best approximation, i.e., there is a constant $C_{Céa} > 0$ such that

$$d[\widehat{\mathcal{T}}; u, U(\widehat{\mathcal{T}})] \le C_{C\acute{ea}} \min_{V \in \mathcal{X}(\widehat{\mathcal{T}})} d[\widehat{\mathcal{T}}; u, V].$$
(7)

holds for any refinement $\widehat{\mathcal{T}}$ of $\mathcal{T} \in \mathbb{T}$. Suppose that the ansatz spaces $\mathcal{X}(\mathcal{T}) \subseteq \mathcal{X}(\widehat{\mathcal{T}})$ are nested. Then, the error estimator is quasi-monotone (6).



A concrete example

Consider the homogeneous Poisson problem in a bounded Lipschitz domain $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^d$, $d \geq 2$, find $u \in \mathcal{X} := H_0^1(\Omega)$ such that

$$a(u,v) := \int_{\Omega} \nabla u \cdot \nabla v \, \mathrm{d}x = \int_{\Omega} f v \, \mathrm{d}x =: \langle F, v \rangle \qquad \forall v \in \mathcal{X}.$$

Suppose $\mathcal{X}(\mathcal{T}) \subseteq \mathcal{X}(\widehat{\mathcal{T}}) \subseteq \mathcal{X}$ for all triangulations $\mathcal{T} \in \mathbb{T}$ and all refinements $\widehat{\mathcal{T}} \in \mathbb{T}$ of \mathcal{T} and suppose $d[\mathcal{T}; v, w] = d[v, w] = \|v - w\|_{\mathcal{X}}$ for all $\mathcal{T} \in \mathbb{T}$ and $v, w \in \mathcal{X}$. The Lax-Milgram lemma yields solutions in the continuous as well as in the discrete cases. Furthermore, there holds a priori convergence

$$\lim_{\ell\to\infty} \|u-U(\mathcal{T}_\ell)\|_{\mathcal{X}}=0.$$



A concrete example

Hence, stability (A1), reduction (A2) and reliability (5) already imply convergence. Galerkin orthogonality yields

$$a(u - U(\mathcal{T}_{\ell+1}), V) = 0 \qquad \forall V \in \mathcal{X}(\mathcal{T}_{\ell+1})$$

and implies the Pythagoras theorem

$$\|u - U(\mathcal{T}_{\ell+1})\|_{\mathcal{X}}^2 = \|u - U(\mathcal{T}_{\ell})\|_{\mathcal{X}}^2 - \|U(\mathcal{T}_{\ell+1}) - U(\mathcal{T}_{\ell})\|_{\mathcal{X}}^2.$$

General quasi-orthogonality (A3) is already satisfied. It remains to show stability (A1), reduction (A2) and discrete reliability (A4).



Outline



O Abstract framework

3 The axioms





References



C. Carstensen, M. Feischl, M. Page, and D. Praetorius.

Axioms of adaptivity.

Computers & Mathematics with Applications, 67(6):1195 – 1253, 2014

J. M. Cascon, C. Kreuzer, R. H. Nochetto, and K. G. Siebert.

Quasi-optimal convergence rate for an adaptive finite element method.

SIAM Journal on Numerical Analysis, 46(5):2524-2550, 2008