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## Basic idea

Weak formulation: Find $u \in V_{0}: a(u, v)=\ell(v) \forall v \in V_{0}$

- Equivalent linear system:

$$
\mathbf{K} \underline{u}=\underline{f}
$$

with $\mathbf{K} \in \mathbb{R}^{n_{h} \times n_{h}}$ symmetric and positive definite

- Condition number: $\kappa(\mathbf{K}) \rightarrow \infty$ as $h \rightarrow 0$

■ Iteration numbers: $\mathcal{O}\left(\kappa(\mathbf{K})^{\alpha}\right) \rightarrow \infty$ as $h \rightarrow 0$
Idea: Multiply with regular matrix $\mathbf{C}^{\mathbf{- 1}} \in \mathbb{R}^{n_{h} \times n_{h}}$

$$
\mathbf{C}^{-1} \mathbf{K} \underline{u}=\mathbf{C}^{-\mathbf{1}} \underline{f}
$$

such that

$$
\kappa\left(\mathbf{C}^{-1} \mathbf{K}\right) \leq c \neq c(h) .
$$

## Basic idea

## Preconditioned linear system:

$$
\mathbf{C}^{-1} \mathbf{K} \underline{u}=\mathbf{C}^{-1} \underline{f},
$$

Requirements:

- Reduce condition number: $\kappa\left(\mathbf{C}^{-1} \mathbf{K}\right) \leq c \neq c(h)$
$\square$ Cheap realization of $\mathbf{C}^{-1}$, i.e. with complexity

$$
\mathcal{O}\left(n_{h}\right) \quad \text { or } \quad \mathcal{O}\left(n_{h} \log \left(n_{h}\right)\right) .
$$

## Basic idea

## Lemma

For $\mathbf{K}, \mathbf{C} \in \mathbb{R}^{n_{h} \times n_{h}}$ symmetric and positive definite let the spectral equivalence inequalities be fulfilled, i.e.

$$
c_{1}(\mathbf{C} \underline{v}, \underline{v}) \leq(\mathbf{K} \underline{v}, \underline{v}) \leq c_{2}(\mathbf{C} \underline{v}, \underline{v}) \quad \forall \underline{v} \in \mathbb{R}^{n_{h}}
$$

Then there holds the estimate

$$
\kappa\left(\mathbf{C}^{-1} \mathbf{K}\right) \leq \frac{c_{2}}{c_{1}}
$$

- Algebraic preconditioners:
$\square$ Incomplete LU-factorization (ILU)
$\square$ Incomplete Cholesky-factorization (IC)
$\square$ Algebraic multigrid method (AMG)
$\square$...
- Preconditioners using variational backround:
$\square$ Schwarz methods
$\square$ Multilevel methods (BPX, MDS, AMLI,...)
$\square$ Multigrid methods (GMG, AMG)
$\square$...
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## Preconditioned Richardson method

Applying the Richardson method to the preconditioned linear system:

$$
\mathbf{C}^{-1} \mathbf{K} \underline{u}=\mathbf{C}^{-1} \underline{f},
$$

gives
$\underline{u}^{(k+1)}=\underline{u}^{(k)}+\alpha\left[\mathbf{C}^{-1} \underline{f}-\mathbf{C}^{-1} \mathbf{K} \underline{u}^{(k)}\right]=\underline{u}^{(k)}+\alpha \mathbf{C}^{-1}\left[\underline{f}-\mathbf{K} \underline{u}^{(k)}\right]$
the preconditioned Richardson method

$$
\underline{u}^{(k+1)}=\underline{u}^{(k)}+\alpha \mathbf{C}^{-1}\left[\underline{f}-\mathbf{K} \underline{u}^{(k)}\right] \quad \text { for } k=0,1,2, \ldots
$$

## Preconditioned CG method

Applying the CG-method to the preconditioned linear system:

$$
\mathbf{C}^{-1} \mathbf{K} \underline{u}=\mathbf{C}^{-1} \underline{f}
$$

gives the
Algorithm Preconditioned CG-method
1: $\underline{r}^{(0)}:=\underline{f}-\mathbf{K} \underline{u}^{(0)}, \quad \underline{v}^{(0)}:=\mathbf{C}^{-1} \underline{r}^{(0)}, \quad \underline{p}^{(0)}:=\underline{v}^{(0)}$
2: $\mathbf{f o r} k=0,1 \ldots$ do
3: $\quad \underline{w}^{(k)}=\mathbf{K} \underline{p}^{(k)}$
4: $\quad \alpha_{k}=\frac{\left(\underline{r}^{(k)}, \underline{v}^{(k)}\right)}{\left(\underline{w}^{(k)}, p^{(k)}\right)}$
5: $\quad \underline{u}^{(k+1)}=\underline{u}^{(k)}+\alpha_{k} \underline{p}^{(k)}$
6: $\quad \underline{r}^{(k+1)}=\underline{r}^{(k)}-\alpha_{k} \underline{w}^{(k)}$
7: $\quad \underline{v}^{(k+1)}=\mathbf{C}^{-1} \underline{r}^{(k)}$
8: $\quad \bar{\beta}_{k}=\frac{\left(\underline{r}^{(k+1)}, v^{(\bar{k}+1)}\right)}{\left(\underline{r}^{(k)}, \underline{v}^{(k)}\right)}, \quad \underline{p}^{(k+1)}=\underline{v}^{(k+1)}+\beta_{k} \underline{p}^{(k)}$
9: end for

## Preconditioned CG method

Theorem (prec. CG-method convergence)
For the preconditioned CG-method there holds the estimate

$$
\left\|\underline{u}-\underline{u}^{(k)}\right\|_{A} \leq \frac{2 q^{k}}{1+q^{2 k}}\left\|\underline{u}-\underline{u}^{(0)}\right\|_{A} \leq 2 q^{k}\left\|\underline{u}-\underline{u}^{(0)}\right\|_{A},
$$

with

$$
q=\frac{\sqrt{\kappa\left(\mathbf{C}^{-1} \mathbf{K}\right)}-1}{\sqrt{\kappa\left(\mathbf{C}^{-1} \mathbf{K}\right)}+1}
$$
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## Subspace correction methods

## Use variational backround:

$$
\mathbf{K} \underline{u}=\underline{f} \quad \Leftrightarrow \quad u \in V_{0}: a(u, v)=\ell(v) \forall v \in V_{0}
$$

First idea: Use coercivity and boundedness of $a(\cdot, \cdot)$ :

$$
\begin{aligned}
c_{1}(\mathbf{B} \underline{v}, \underline{v}) & :=c_{1}(v, v)_{V} \\
& =c_{1}\|v\|_{V}^{2} \leq a(v, v)=(\mathbf{K} \underline{v}, \underline{v}) \leq c_{2}\|v\|_{V}^{2}=c_{2}(\mathbf{B} \underline{v}, \underline{v})
\end{aligned}
$$

for all $\underline{v} \in \mathbb{R}^{n_{h}}$.

- Spectral equivalence estimate fulfilled for B $\checkmark$
- Efficient realization of $\mathbf{B}^{-1}$ not directly possible for spaces like $V=H^{1}(\Omega)$
BEM: the Preconditioner $\mathbf{B}^{-1}$ can often be realized by a boundary integral operator $\rightarrow$ operators of inverse order


## Subspace correction methods

Let $\underline{u}^{(k)} \in \mathbb{R}^{n_{h}} \leftrightarrow u^{(k)} \in V_{0}$ be an approximation of

$$
\mathbf{K} \underline{u}=\underline{f} \quad \leftrightarrow \quad u \in V_{0}: a(u, v)=\ell(v) \forall v \in V_{0} .
$$

Second idea: Use a subspace $W_{0} \subset V_{0}$ and the variational problem:
$\underline{w}^{(k)} \in \mathbb{R}^{n_{h}} \leftrightarrow w^{(k)} \in W_{0}: a\left(w^{(k)}, v\right)=\ell(v)-a\left(u^{(k)}, v\right) \quad \forall v \in W_{0}$.

■ If $W_{0}=V_{0}$, then

$$
\underline{u}=\underline{u}^{(k)}+\underline{w}^{(k)} \in \mathbb{R}^{n_{h}} \quad \leftrightarrow \quad u=u^{(k)}+w^{(k)} \in V_{0} .
$$

- This motivates to define for $W_{0} \subset V_{0}$ and $\alpha>0$ the correction

$$
\underline{u}^{(k+1)}=\underline{u}^{(k)}+\alpha \underline{w}^{(k)} \in \mathbb{R}^{n_{h}} \quad \leftrightarrow \quad u^{(k+1)}=u^{(k)}+\alpha w^{(k)} \in V_{0}
$$

If $W_{0} \subset V_{0}$, then not all components of $V_{0}$ can be corrected

## Subspace correction methods

Third idea: Use a subspace decomposition. Consider the subspaces $W_{0, s} \subset V_{0}$ for $s=1, \ldots, P$ with

$$
V_{0}=\sum_{s=1}^{P} W_{0, s}:=\left\{\sum_{s=1}^{P} w_{s}: w_{s} \in W_{0, s} \text { for } s=1, \ldots, P\right\} .
$$

For every subspace $W_{0, s}$ we obtain a subspace correction

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \underline{w}_{s}^{(k)} \in \mathbb{R}^{n_{h}} \leftrightarrow w_{s}^{(k)} \in W_{0, s}: \\
& \quad a\left(w_{s}^{(k)}, v_{s}\right)=\ell\left(v_{s}\right)-a\left(u^{(k)}, v_{s}\right) \quad \forall v_{s} \in W_{0, s} .
\end{aligned}
$$

How to combine all the corrections?
■ Additive

- Multiplicative


## Additive-Schwarz methods

$\square$ Approximation: $\underline{u}^{(k)} \in \mathbb{R}^{n_{h}} \leftrightarrow u^{(k)} \in V_{0}$.

- Subspaces

$$
V_{0}=\sum_{s=1}^{P} W_{0, s}
$$

- Subspace corrections

$$
w_{s}^{(k)} \in W_{0, s}: a\left(w_{s}^{(k)}, v_{s}\right)=\ell\left(v_{s}\right)-a\left(u^{(k)}, v_{s}\right) \quad \forall v_{s} \in W_{0, s} .
$$

Define the correction

$$
w^{(k)}:=\sum_{s=1}^{P} w_{s}^{(k)} \in V_{0} \quad \leftrightarrow \quad \underline{w}^{(k)}:=\sum_{s=1}^{P} \underline{w}_{s}^{(k)} \in \mathbb{R}^{n_{h}} .
$$

## Next iterate

$u^{(k+1)}=u^{(k)}+\alpha w^{(k)} \in V_{0} \quad \leftrightarrow \quad \underline{u}^{(k+1)}=\underline{u}^{(k)}+\alpha \underline{w}^{(k)} \in \mathbb{R}^{n_{h}}$.

## Additive-Schwarz methods - example

$\square$ Discrete space: $V_{0}=\operatorname{span}\left\{N_{j}\right\}_{j=1}^{n_{h}}$
■ Consider the subspaces

$$
W_{0, s}:=\operatorname{span}\left\{N_{s}\right\} \quad \text { for } s=1, \ldots, n_{h}
$$

Then the additive correction is given by

$$
w^{(k)}=\sum_{s=1}^{n_{h}} w_{s}^{(k)}=\sum_{s=1}^{n_{h}} w_{s} N_{s} \quad \leftrightarrow \quad \underline{w}^{(k)}=\left[w_{s}\right]_{s=1}^{n_{h}} \in \mathbb{R}^{n_{h}} .
$$

We further obtain the subspace corrections

$$
\begin{array}{rll} 
& w_{s}^{(k)} \in W_{0, s}: & a\left(w_{s}^{(k)}, v_{s}\right)=\ell\left(v_{s}\right)-a\left(u^{(k)}, v_{s}\right) \quad \forall v_{s} \in W_{0, s}, \\
\Leftrightarrow & w_{s} \in \mathbb{R}: & a\left(N_{s}, N_{s}\right) w_{s}=\ell\left(N_{s}\right)-a\left(u^{(k)}, N_{s}\right) \\
\Leftrightarrow & w_{s} \in \mathbb{R} \quad: & K_{s s} w_{s}=f_{s}-\left[\mathbf{K} \underline{u}^{(k)}\right]_{s}=\left[\underline{f}-\mathbf{K} \underline{u}^{(k)}\right]_{s} .
\end{array}
$$

## Additive-Schwarz methods - example

Summerizing we have

$$
\underline{w}^{(k)}=\left[w_{s}\right]_{s=1}^{n_{h}} \in \mathbb{R}^{n_{h}} \quad \text { with } \quad w_{s}=K_{s s}^{-1}\left[\underline{f}-\mathbf{K} \underline{u}^{(k)}\right]_{s}
$$

Hence the correction is given by

$$
\underline{w}^{(k)}=\mathbf{D}^{-1}\left[\underline{f}-\mathbf{K} \underline{u}^{(k)}\right] \quad \text { with } \quad \mathbf{D}:=\operatorname{diag}(\mathbf{K}) .
$$

The next iterate is then given by

$$
\underline{u}^{(k+1)}=\underline{u}^{(k)}+\alpha \underline{w}^{(k)}=\underline{u}^{(k)}+\alpha \mathbf{D}^{-1}\left[\underline{f}-\mathbf{K} \underline{u}^{(k)}\right]
$$

$\rightarrow$ prec. Richardson method with "preconditioner" $\mathbf{D}^{-1}$ or damped Jacobi method.
$\rightarrow$ in general not an optimal method (see prevoiuse lecture)

## Multiplicative-Schwarz methods

■ Approximation: $\underline{u}^{(k)} \in \mathbb{R}^{n_{h}} \leftrightarrow u^{(k)} \in V_{0}$.

- Subspaces

$$
V_{0}=\sum_{s=1}^{P} W_{0, s}
$$

Algorithm Multiplicative Schwarz
1: $u_{0}^{(k)}:=u^{(k)}$
2: $\mathbf{f o r} s=1, \ldots, P$ do
3: $\quad w_{s}^{(k)} \in W_{0, s}: a\left(w_{s}^{(k)}, v_{s}\right)=\ell\left(v_{s}\right)-a\left(u_{s-1}^{(k)}, v_{s}\right) \forall v_{s} \in W_{0, s}$
4: $\quad u_{s}^{(k)}=u_{s-1}^{(k)}+w_{s}^{(k)}$
5: end for
6: $u^{(k+1)}=u_{P}^{(k)}$
$\rightarrow$ ordering of the subspaces $W_{0, s}$ plays a role!

## Multiplicative-Schwarz methods - example

$\square$ Discrete space: $V_{0}=\operatorname{span}\left\{N_{j}\right\}_{j=1}^{n_{h}}$

- Consider the subspaces

$$
W_{0, s}:=\operatorname{span}\left\{N_{s}\right\} \quad \text { for } s=1, \ldots, n_{h}
$$

Then the correction is given by

$$
\underline{w}^{(k)}=\mathbf{L}^{-1}\left[\underline{f}-\mathbf{K} \underline{u}^{(k)}\right], \quad \mathbf{L}:=\text { lower triangular mat. of } \mathbf{K} .
$$

The next iterate is then given by

$$
\underline{u}^{(k+1)}=\underline{u}^{(k)}+\mathbf{L}^{-1}\left[\underline{f}-\mathbf{K} \underline{u}^{(k)}\right] .
$$

$\rightarrow$ Gauß-Seidel method
$\rightarrow$ in general not an optimal method (see prevoiuse lecture)
It is possible to combine additive and multiplicative methods, e.g.
$\rightarrow$ Multigrid methods (see later)
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## Multilevel diagonal scaling

Simple additive example $\rightarrow$ not efficient preconditioner Idea: Consider a hierarchy of nested subspaces.
Simplification: 1d-Poisson problem:

- $\Omega=(0,1), V_{0}$ continuous and piecwise linear functions
$\square$ Find $u \in V_{0}: \int_{0}^{1} u^{\prime}(x) v^{\prime}(x) \mathrm{d} x=\int_{0}^{1} f(x) v(x) \mathrm{d} x \forall v \in V_{0}$

level $\ell=0, \quad V_{0}^{0}$
Nested spaces

$$
V_{0}^{0} \subset V_{0}^{1} \subset \ldots \subset V_{0}^{L}=V_{0} .
$$

## Multilevel diagonal scaling

■ Basis functions for each level: $V_{0}^{\ell}=\operatorname{span}\left\{N_{j}^{\ell}\right\}_{j=1}^{n_{\ell}}$.
$\square$ For each level we consider the subspaces

$$
W_{0, i}^{\ell}:=\operatorname{span}\left\{N_{i}^{\ell}\right\} \quad \text { for } i=1, \ldots, n_{\ell}, \quad \ell=0, \ldots, L .
$$

## Subspace decomposition:

$$
V_{0}=V_{L}=\sum_{\ell=0}^{L} \sum_{i=1}^{n_{\ell}} W_{0, i}^{\ell}
$$

Additive correction:

$$
w^{(k)}=\sum_{\ell=1}^{L} \sum_{i=1}^{n_{\ell}} w_{i}^{\ell} N_{i}^{\ell}=: \sum_{\ell=1}^{L} w^{\ell}
$$

with the coefficents from the subspace corrections
$w_{i}^{\ell} \in \mathbb{R}: \quad a\left(N_{i}^{\ell}, N_{i}^{\ell}\right) w_{i}^{\ell}=\ell\left(N_{i}^{\ell}\right)-a\left(u^{(k)}, N_{i}^{\ell}\right)=:\left\langle R^{\ell}, N_{i}^{\ell}\right\rangle=:\left[\underline{r}^{\ell}\right]_{i}$.

## Multilevel diagonal scaling

## Multi diagonal scaling (MDS) procedure:

- Given approximation

$$
\underline{u}^{(k)} \in \mathbb{R}^{n_{h}} \quad \leftrightarrow \quad u^{(k)} \in V_{0} .
$$

■ For each level we apply a diagonal scaling to the residual

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \underline{r}^{\ell}:=\left[\ell\left(N_{i}^{\ell}\right)-a\left(u^{(k)}, N_{i}^{\ell}\right)\right]_{i=1}^{n_{\ell}} \\
& \quad \underline{w}^{\ell}=\mathbf{D}_{\ell}^{-1} \underline{r}^{\ell} \quad \leftrightarrow \quad w^{\ell} \in V_{0}^{\ell} .
\end{aligned}
$$

- Sum up all corrections from each level

$$
w^{(k)}=\sum_{\ell=0}^{L} w^{\ell} \in V_{0} \quad \leftrightarrow \quad \underline{w}^{(k)} \in \mathbb{R}^{n_{h}}
$$

- Compute update

$$
\underline{u}^{(k+1)}=\underline{u}^{(k)}+\alpha \underline{w}^{(k)} .
$$

## Multilevel diagonal scaling

■ Every computation of one MDS update is linear w.r.t the residual

There exists

$$
\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{MDS}^{-1}}: \mathbb{R}^{n_{h}} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{n_{h}}
$$

with

$$
\underline{w}^{(k)}=\mathbf{C}_{\mathrm{MDS}^{-1}} \underline{\underline{r}}=\mathbf{C}_{\mathrm{MDS}^{-1}}\left[\underline{f}-\mathbf{K} \underline{u}^{(k)}\right] .
$$

This scheme gives the preconditioned Richardson method

$$
\underline{u}^{(k+1)}=\underline{u}^{(k)}+\alpha \mathbf{C}_{\mathrm{MDS}}{ }^{-1}\left[\underline{f}-\mathbf{K} \underline{u}^{(k)}\right] \quad \text { for } k=0,1, \ldots
$$

- The preconditioner $\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{MDS}}{ }^{-1}$ can be also used in other iterative schmes like the CG-method.


## Multilevel diagonal scaling

■ MDS scheme has optimal complexity $\mathcal{O}\left(n_{h}\right)$

- The MDS scheme is usually implemented by using transfer operators between the different levels $\rightarrow$ see later


## Theorem

For the MDS preconditioner one can show the spectral equivalence estimates

$$
c_{1}\left(\mathbf{C}_{\mathrm{MDS}} \underline{v}, \underline{v}\right) \leq(\mathbf{K} \underline{v}, \underline{v}) \leq c_{2}\left(\mathbf{C}_{\mathrm{MDS}} \underline{v}, \underline{v}\right) \quad \forall \underline{v} \in \mathbb{R}^{n_{h}},
$$

with constants $c_{1}, c_{2}$ independent of $h$ (only $\log (h)$ ).

## Multilevel diagonal scaling - example

$\square \Omega=(0,1)$, deocmposed with constant mesh size $h_{\ell}=2^{-\ell}$
$\square$ Find $u \in V_{0}: \int_{0}^{1} u^{\prime}(x) v^{\prime}(x) \mathrm{d} x=\int_{0}^{1} f(x) v(x) \mathrm{d} x \forall v \in V_{0}$
$\square$ Prec. CG-method, rel. residual error reduction $\varepsilon=10^{-8}$

| level | dof $n_{h}$ | iter | time $[\mathrm{s}]$ |
| :---: | ---: | :---: | :---: |
| 3 | 9 | 5 | - |
| 4 | 17 | 11 | - |
| 5 | 33 | 16 | - |
| 6 | 65 | 20 | - |
| 7 | 129 | 22 | - |
| 8 | 257 | 24 | - |
| 9 | 513 | 26 | - |
| 10 | 1025 | 26 | - |
| 11 | 2049 | 27 | 0.0015 |
| 12 | 4097 | 29 | 0.0029 |
| 13 | 8193 | 29 | 0.0060 |
| 14 | 16385 | 30 | 0.0131 |
| 15 | 32769 | 32 | 0.0315 |
| 16 | 65537 | 33 | 0.0668 |
| 17 | 131073 | 33 | 0.1377 |
| 18 | 262145 | 34 | 0.3147 |
| 19 | 524289 | 34 | 0.6527 |
| 20 | 1048577 | 35 | 1.3391 |
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- Basic idea of preconditioning
- Preconditioned iterative methods
- Subspace correction methods
$\square$ Additive
$\square$ Multiplicative
- Multileve diagonal scaling (MDS)
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