TUTORIAL

"Numerical Methods for the Solution of **Elliptic Partial Differential Equations**"

to the lecture

"Numerics of Elliptic Problems"

Tutorial 08 Tuesday, 29 May 2018, Time: $10^{15} - 11^{45}$, Room: S2 346.

DEFINITION 3.3 A family $\{\tau_h\}_{h\in\Theta}$ of triangulations $\tau_h = \{\delta_r : r \in \mathbb{R}_h\}$ is called regular, if there exists positive and h-independent constants $\underline{c}_1, \overline{c}_1, c_2, c_3 > 0$ such that

- 1. $c_1 h^d \leq |J_{\delta_r}(\xi)| \leq \overline{c}_1 h^d, \ \forall \xi \in \overline{\Delta},$
- 2. $||J_{\delta_r}(\xi)|| < c_2 h, \forall \xi \in \overline{\Delta},$
- 3. $||J_{\delta}^{-T}(x)|| \leq c_3 h^{-1}, \forall x \in \overline{\delta}_r,$

and for all $r \in \mathbb{R}_h$ and $h \in \Theta$.

THEOREM 3.4 Let $a(\cdot, \cdot) : V \times V \to \mathbb{R}^n$ be a bilinear form with $V = H^1(\Omega)$ and $\|\cdot\| = \|\cdot\|_1$, which is symmetric and fulfils the assumptions of Lax Milgram. Moreover, let the triangulation be regular in the sense of Definition 3.3. Then the following two statements are valid:

1. There exists constants $\underline{c}_E, \overline{c}_E > 0$, independent of h such that

$$\underline{c}_E h^d \le \lambda_{min}(K_h) \le \lambda_{max}(K_h) \le \overline{c}_E h^{d-2},$$

2. $\kappa(K_h) = cond_2(K_h) = \frac{\lambda_{max}(K_h)}{\lambda_{min}(K_h)} \leq \frac{\overline{c}_E}{\underline{c}_E} h^{-2}.$

Properties of the Finite Elements Equations 3.3

40 Prove that the inheritance identity

$$(K_h \underline{u}_h, \underline{v}_h) = a(u_h, v_h) \qquad \forall \underline{u}_h, \underline{v}_h \leftrightarrow u_h, v_h \in V_{0h}$$

$$(3.18)$$

is valid !

41 Show that the eigenvalue estimates in Theorem 3.4 are sharp with respect to the $h\text{-}\mathrm{order}$ by proving the following statement. There exist positive constants $\underline{c}_{\!E}'$ and \overline{c}'_E independent of h satisfying the estimates

> $\lambda_{\min}(K_h) \leq \underline{c}'_E h^d$ and $\lambda_{\max}(K_h) \geq \overline{c}'_E h^{d-2}$. (3.19)

For simplicity, consider the 1D case (d = 1):

$$\begin{aligned} -u''(x) &= f(x) & \forall x \in (0,1) \\ u(0) &= u(1) = 0. \end{aligned}$$

42 Show that, for a regular triangulation according to Definition 3.3, there exist *h*-independent positive constants \underline{c}_0 and \overline{c}_0 satisfying the inequalities

$$\underline{c}_0 h^d(\underline{v}_h, \underline{v}_h) \leq (M_h \underline{v}_h, \underline{v}_h) \leq \overline{c}_0 h^d(\underline{v}_h, \underline{v}_h)$$
(3.20)

for all $\underline{v}_h \in \mathbb{R}^{N_h}$, where M_h denotes the mass matrix defined by the identity

$$(M_h \underline{u}_h, \underline{v}_h) := \int_{\Omega} u_h(x) v_h(x) dx \qquad \forall \underline{u}_h, \underline{v}_h \leftrightarrow u_h, v_h \in V_{0h}.$$
(3.21)

The spectral inequalities (3.21) yield that the mass matrix M_h is well conditioned, i.e., the spectral condition number $\operatorname{cond}_2(M_h)$ can be bounded by the *h*-independent constant $\overline{c}_0/\underline{c}_0$.

43^{*} Let $\lambda = \lambda_{max}$ be the maximal eigenvalue of the generalized eigenvalue problem

$$K_h \underline{u}_h = \lambda M_h \underline{u}_h, \tag{3.22}$$

and let $\lambda_r = \lambda_{r,\max}$ be the maximal eigenvalues of generalized eigenvalue problems

$$K_h^{(r)}\underline{u}_h^{(r)} = \lambda_r M_h^{(r)}\underline{u}_h^{(r)}, \qquad (3.23)$$

where $K_h^{(r)}$ and $M_h^{(r)}$ denote the (local) element stiffness and mass matrices for element number $r = 1, 2, ..., R_h$, i.e., it holds

$$K_h = \sum_{r=1}^{R_h} C_r K_h^{(r)} C_r^T$$
 and $M_h = \sum_{r=1}^{R_h} C_r M_h^{(r)} C_r^T$

Show the eigenvalue estimate

$$\lambda \leq \max_{r=1,2,\dots,R_h} \lambda_r \,. \tag{3.24}$$