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## Problem formulation

## The wave equation

Let $\Omega_{0} \subset \mathbb{R}^{d}$ be the spatial domain with boundary $\partial \Omega_{0}$ and let $\Omega=\Omega_{0} \times(0, T)$ be the spacetime cylinder, with $T>0$.
Furthermore, let $f \in L^{2}(\Omega)$ and $g \in L^{2}(\Omega)^{d}$.
Then the considered first order system for the wave equation is given by

$$
\begin{aligned}
\partial_{t} q-\operatorname{grad}_{x} \mu & =g \\
\partial_{t} \mu-\operatorname{div}_{x} q & =f
\end{aligned}
$$

Additionally, the wave equation is equipped with homogeneous initial and boundary conditions, i.e.,

$$
\left.\mu\right|_{t=0}=0,\left.\quad q\right|_{t=0}=0,\left.\quad \mu\right|_{\partial \Omega_{0} \times(0, T)}=0
$$

## The formal wave operator

- Wave operator can be seen as first order distributional derivative operator

$$
\begin{aligned}
& A: L^{2}(\Omega)^{d+1} \rightarrow \mathcal{D}^{\prime}(\Omega)^{d+1} \\
& A u=\left[\begin{array}{c}
\partial_{t} u_{q}-\operatorname{grad}_{x} u_{\mu} \\
\partial_{t} u_{\mu}-\operatorname{div}_{x} u_{q}
\end{array}\right],
\end{aligned}
$$

where $u \in L^{2}(\Omega)^{d+1}$ is split into

$$
u=\left[\begin{array}{l}
u_{q} \\
u_{\mu}
\end{array}\right]
$$

with $u_{q} \in L^{2}(\Omega)^{d}$ and $u_{\mu} \in L^{2}(\Omega)$.

## The formal wave operator

## Theorem

Let $\Omega$ be open. The space

$$
W(\Omega):=\left\{u \in L^{2}(\Omega)^{d+1}: A u \in L^{2}(\Omega)^{d+1}\right\}=W
$$

endowed with the norm

$$
\|u\| w=\left(\|u\|^{2}+\|A u\|^{2}\right)^{1 / 2}
$$

is a Hilbert space.

## Proof.

Blackboard.

## The formal wave operator

- The formal adjoint of $A$ is the operator $-A$ and satisfies

$$
(A u, v)=-(u, A v) \quad \text { for all } u, v \in \mathcal{D}(\Omega)^{d+1}
$$

- Furthermore, we introduce $D: W \rightarrow W^{\prime}$ by

$$
\langle D u, v\rangle_{W}=(A u, v)+(u, A v) \quad \text { for all } u, v \in W
$$

where $W^{\prime}$ denotes the dual space of $W$, and $\langle\cdot, \cdot\rangle_{W}$ denotes the duality pairing in $W$.

- Assume $u, v \in \mathcal{D}(\bar{\Omega})^{d+1}$, then

$$
\langle D u, v\rangle w=\int_{\partial \Omega} u_{q} \cdot\left(n_{t} v_{q}-n_{x} v_{\mu}\right)+u_{\mu}\left(n_{t} v_{\mu}-n_{x} \cdot v_{q}\right) d s,
$$

where $n=\left(n_{x}, n_{t}\right)$ is the outward unit normal to $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^{d+1}$

## The unbounded wave operator

- Definition of an unbounded operator, again denoted by $A$
- Domain $\operatorname{dom}(\mathrm{A})$ of $A$ takes initial and boundary conditions into account
- We partition the spacetime boundary $\partial \Omega$ into

$$
\begin{aligned}
\Gamma_{0} & =\Omega_{0} \times\{0\}, \\
\Gamma_{T} & =\Omega_{0} \times\{T\}, \\
\Gamma_{b} & =\partial \Omega_{0} \times[0, T],
\end{aligned}
$$

- Moreover, we define

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{V} & =\left\{v \in \mathcal{D}(\bar{\Omega})^{d+1}:\left.v\right|_{\Gamma_{0}}=0,\left.v_{\mu}\right|_{\Gamma_{b}}=0\right\} \\
\mathcal{V}^{*} & =\left\{v \in \mathcal{D}(\bar{\Omega})^{d+1}:\left.v\right|_{\Gamma_{T}}=0,\left.v_{\mu}\right|_{\Gamma_{b}}=0\right\}
\end{aligned}
$$

## The unbounded wave operator

- Now we can define the unbounded operator

$$
\begin{gathered}
A: \operatorname{dom}(A) \subset L^{2}(\Omega)^{d+1} \rightarrow L^{2}(\Omega)^{d+1} \\
A u=\left[\begin{array}{c}
\partial_{t} u_{q}-\operatorname{grad}_{x} u_{\mu} \\
\partial_{t} u_{\mu}-\operatorname{div}_{x} u_{q}
\end{array}\right],
\end{gathered}
$$

with

$$
\operatorname{dom}(A)=\left\{u \in W:\langle D u, v\rangle_{W}=0 \text { for all } v \in \mathcal{V}^{*}\right\}
$$

- $\mathcal{D}(\Omega)^{d+1} \subset \operatorname{dom}(A) \subset W \Longrightarrow A$ is densly defined and has an adjoint $A^{*}$
- $A^{*}$ equals $-A$ when applied to

$$
\begin{array}{r}
\operatorname{dom}\left(A^{*}\right)=\left\{v \in L^{2}(\Omega)^{d+1}: \exists \ell \in L^{2}(\Omega)^{d+1} \text { s. t. }(A u, v)=(u, \ell)\right. \\
\text { for all } u \in \operatorname{dom}(A)\}
\end{array}
$$

## The unbounded wave operator

- Throughout we denote $\operatorname{dom}(A)$ and $\operatorname{dom}\left(A^{*}\right)$ endowed with the topology of $W$ as $V$ and $V^{*}$, respectively
- $V$ and $V^{*}$ are closed subsets of $W \Longrightarrow A, A^{*}$ are closed operators
- We have

$$
V^{*}=^{\perp} D(V):=\left\{w \in W:\langle f, w\rangle_{w}=0 \text { for all } f \in D(V)\right\}
$$

as well as the inclusions

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{V} & \subset V \\
\mathcal{V}^{*} & \subset V^{*}
\end{aligned}
$$
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## The broken weak formulation

- Partition of $\Omega$ into a mesh $\Omega_{h}$ of open elements $K$ with

$$
\bar{\Omega}=\bigcup_{K \in \Omega_{h}} \bar{K}
$$

- "Broken" analogue $W_{h}$ of $W$ given by

$$
W_{h}=\left\{v \in L^{2}(\Omega)^{d+1}: A_{h} v \in L^{2}(\Omega)^{d+1}\right\}
$$

- Let $A_{h}$ be the wave operator applied element by element, i.e.,

$$
\left.\left(A_{h} v\right)\right|_{K}=A\left(\left.v\right|_{K}\right), \quad v \in W(K), K \in \Omega_{h}
$$

- The operator $D_{h}: W_{h} \rightarrow W_{h}^{\prime}$ is defined by

$$
\left\langle D_{h} u, v\right\rangle_{h}:=\left\langle D_{h} u, v\right\rangle_{W_{h}}=\left(A_{h} u, v\right)+\left(u, A_{h} v\right)
$$

for all $u, v \in W_{h}$ and $\langle\cdot, \cdot\rangle_{h}$ denotes the duality pairing in $W_{h}$

## The broken weak formulation

- Let $D_{h, V}$ denote the restriction to $V$, i.e.,

$$
D_{h, v}=D_{h} \mid v
$$

and we define

$$
Q:=\mathcal{R}\left(D_{h, v}\right)
$$

- $Q$ with

$$
\|q\|_{Q}=\inf _{v \in D_{h, V}^{-1}(\{q\})}\|v\|_{W}
$$

is a complete space.

## The broken weak formulation

- The bilinear form

$$
b((v, \rho), w)=-\left(v, A_{h} w\right)+\langle\rho, w\rangle_{h}
$$

$$
\text { on }\left(L^{2}(\Omega)^{d+1} \times Q\right) \times W_{h} \text { leads to }
$$

## Broken weak formulation

Let $F \in W_{h}^{\prime}$. Find: $u \in L^{2}(\Omega)^{d+1}$ and $\lambda \in Q$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
b((u, \lambda), w)=F(w) \text { for all } w \in W_{h} \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

## The broken weak formulation

- Well-posedness of $(1)$ is guaranteed, if

$$
\begin{gathered}
V==^{\perp} D\left(V^{*}\right) \\
A: V \rightarrow L^{2}(\Omega)^{d+1} \text { is a bijection. }
\end{gathered}
$$

## Theorem

Suppose

$$
\mathcal{V} \text { is dense in } V \text { and } \mathcal{V}^{*} \text { is dense in } V^{*} .
$$

Then the conditions (2) and (3) are satisfied.

## Proof.

Blackboard.
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## Verification of the density condition

- Application of the density result for a hyperrectangle, i.e.,

$$
\Omega=\Omega_{0} \times(0, T), \Omega_{0}=\prod_{i=1}^{d}\left(0, a_{i}\right)
$$

for some $a_{i}>0$.

## Theorem

On the previously defined $\Omega, \mathcal{V}^{*}$ is dense in $V^{*}$ and $\mathcal{V}$ is dense in $V$.

## Verification of the density condition

## Proof.

The proof is divided into three steps.
1 Extension:
Here, we extend a function in $V$ using spatial reflections to a domain which has larger spatial extent than $\Omega$. The operations

$$
R_{i,-} x=x-2 x_{i} e_{i}, \quad R_{i,+} x=x+2\left(a_{i}-x_{i}\right) e_{i}
$$

perform reflections of $x$ about $x_{i}=0$ and $x_{i}=a_{i}$ for $i=1, \ldots, d$.
The extended domains $Q_{i}$ are obtained recursively by

$$
\begin{array}{r}
Q_{0}=\bar{\Omega} \\
Q_{i,-}=R_{i,-}^{-1} Q_{i-1}, \quad Q_{i,+}=R_{i,+}^{-1} Q_{i-1} \\
Q_{i}=Q_{i,-} \cup Q_{i-1} \cup Q_{i,+} .
\end{array}
$$

The final extended domain is $Q=Q_{d}$.

## Verification of the density condition

Then we need even and odd extensions of functions. Let $G_{i, e}, G_{i, o}: L^{2}\left(Q_{i-1}\right) \rightarrow L^{2}\left(Q_{i}\right)$ be defined by

$$
\begin{gathered}
G_{i, e} f(x, t)= \begin{cases}f\left(R_{i,-} x, t\right) & \text { if }(x, t) \in Q_{i,-}, \\
f\left(R_{i,+} x, t\right) & \text { if }(x, t) \in Q_{i,+}, \\
f(x, t) & \text { if }(x, t) \in Q_{i-1}\end{cases} \\
G_{i, o} f(x, t)= \begin{cases}-f\left(R_{i,-} x, t\right) & \text { if }(x, t) \in Q_{i,-}, \\
-f\left(R_{i,+} x, t\right) & \text { if }(x, t) \in Q_{i,+}, \\
f(x, t) & \text { if }(x, t) \in Q_{i-1}\end{cases}
\end{gathered}
$$

For vector valued functions $v \in L^{2}(\Omega)^{d+1}$, we define

$$
G_{i} v(x, t)=\left(G_{i, e} v_{i}\right) e_{i}+\sum_{j \neq i}\left(G_{i, o} v_{j}\right) e_{j}
$$

## Verification of the density condition

Next, we define

$$
\begin{aligned}
& E_{k}=G_{k} \circ G_{k-1} \circ \cdots \circ G_{1}, \\
& E_{k}^{\prime}=G_{k}^{\prime} \circ G_{k+1}^{\prime} \circ \cdots \circ G_{d}^{\prime}
\end{aligned}
$$

where

$$
G_{i}^{\prime} w(x, t)=\left(G_{i, e}^{\prime} w_{i}\right) e_{i}+\sum_{j \neq i}\left(G_{i, o}^{\prime} w_{j}\right) e_{j}
$$

with

$$
\begin{aligned}
& G_{i, o}^{\prime} w(x, t)=w(x, t)-w\left(R_{i,-}^{-1} x\right)-w\left(R_{i,+}^{-1} x\right), \\
& G_{i, e}^{\prime} w(x, t)=w(x, t)+w\left(R_{i,-}^{-1} x\right)+w\left(R_{i,+}^{-1} x\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

## Verification of the density condition

It holds that

$$
(E v, w)_{Q}=\left(v, E^{\prime} w\right) \quad \text { for all } v \in L^{2}(\Omega)^{d+1}, w \in L^{2}(Q)^{d+1} .
$$

It can be proven that for any $v \in V, A E v \in L^{2}(Q)^{d+1}, A E v$ coincides with $E A v$ and $E v \in W(Q)$

## Verification of the density condition

2 Translation: In this step we translate up the previously obtained extension in time coordinate. Let $v \in V$ and $\tilde{E} v$ be the extension of $E v$ by 0 to $\mathbb{R}^{d+1}$. For $\tau_{\delta}, \delta>0$, the translation operator in time direction, i.e., $\left(\tau_{\delta} w\right)(x, t)=w(x, t-\delta)$ it holds that

$$
\lim _{\delta \rightarrow 0}\left\|\tau_{\delta} g-g\right\|_{L^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{d+1}\right)}=0 \quad \text { for all } g \in L^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{d+1}\right)
$$

With the restriction $H_{\delta}$ from $\mathbb{R}^{d+1}$ to
$Q_{\delta}=\prod_{i=1}^{d}\left(-a_{i}, 2 a_{i}\right) \times(-\delta, T+\delta)$ it must be verified that

$$
A H_{\delta} \tau_{\delta} \tilde{E} v=H_{\delta} \tau_{\delta} \tilde{E} v
$$

In particular we have $H_{\delta} \tau_{\delta} \tilde{E} v \in W\left(Q_{\delta}\right)$ whenever $v \in V$.

## Verification of the density condition

3 Mollification: In this step we consider a $v \in V$ and mollify the time-translated extension $\tau_{\delta} \tilde{E} v$. The used mollifier is given by

$$
\rho_{\varepsilon}(x, t)=\varepsilon^{-(d+1)} \rho_{1}\left(\varepsilon^{-1} x, \varepsilon^{-1} t\right)
$$

where

$$
\rho_{1}(x, t)= \begin{cases}k \exp \left(-\frac{1}{1-|x|^{2}-t^{2}}\right) & \text { if }|x|^{2}+t^{2}<1 \\ 0 & \text { if }|x|^{2}+t^{2} \geq 1\end{cases}
$$

with $k$ such that $\int_{\mathbb{R}^{d+1}} \rho_{1}=1$. To end this proof it suffices to show that

$$
v_{\varepsilon}=\rho_{\varepsilon} * \tau_{\delta} \tilde{E} v
$$

is in $\mathcal{V}$ and $\left\|v-\left.v_{\varepsilon}\right|_{\Omega}\right\|_{W} \underset{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0}{ } 0$.
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## The ideal DPG method and a prior \& a posterior error estimates

- Approximation of the broken weak formulation by ideal DPG method
- Find: $u_{h} \in U_{h} \subset L^{2}(\Omega)^{d+1}$ and $\lambda_{h} \in Q_{h} \subset Q$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
b\left(\left(u_{h}, \lambda_{h}\right), w_{h}\right)=F\left(w_{h}\right) \text { for all } w_{h} \in T\left(U_{h} \times Q_{h}\right) \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $T: L^{2}(\Omega)^{d+1} \times Q \rightarrow W_{h}$ is such that

$$
(T(v, \rho), w)_{h}=b((v, \rho), w)
$$

for all $w \in W_{h}$ and any $(v, \rho) \in L^{2}(\Omega)^{d+1} \times Q$.

- The mixed formulation

Find: $\varepsilon_{h} \in W_{h}$ and $\left(u_{h}, \lambda_{h}\right) \in\left(U_{h} \times Q_{h}\right)$ such that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left(\varepsilon_{h}, w\right)_{h}+b\left(\left(u_{h}, \lambda_{h}\right), w\right) & =F(w) & \text { for all } w \in W_{h}, \\
b\left((v, \rho), \varepsilon_{h}\right) & =0 & \text { for all }(v, \rho) \in U_{h} \times Q_{h}
\end{aligned}
$$

is equivalent to formulation (4), see Seminar 08.

## The ideal DPG method and a posteriori error estimates

- The expression

$$
\eta=\left\|\varepsilon_{h}\right\|_{W_{h}}=\left(\sum_{K \in \Omega_{h}}\left\|\varepsilon_{h}\right\|_{W(K)}^{2}\right)^{1 / 2}
$$

is an efficient and reliable a posteriori error estimator, see Seminar 08.

## The ideal DPG method and a priori error estimates

- For a priori estimates we distinguish between

Case $A$ :: $\Omega_{h}$ is a geometrically conforming mesh of $(d+1)$-simplices

$$
\begin{aligned}
& V_{h}=\left\{u \in V \cap C(\bar{\Omega})^{d+1}:\left.u\right|_{K} \in P_{p+1}(K)^{d+1} \text { for all } K \in \Omega_{h}\right\}, \\
& U_{h}=\left\{u \in L^{2}(\Omega)^{d+1}:\left.u\right|_{K} \in P_{p}(K)^{d+1} \text { for all } K \in \Omega_{h}\right\}
\end{aligned}
$$

Case $B:$ : $\Omega_{h}$ is a geometrically conforming mesh of hyperrectangles

$$
\begin{aligned}
& V_{h}=\left\{u \in V \cap C(\bar{\Omega})^{d+1}:\left.u\right|_{K} \in Q_{p+1}(K)^{d+1} \text { for all } K \in \Omega_{h}\right\}, \\
& U_{h}=\left\{u \in L^{2}(\Omega)^{d+1}:\left.u\right|_{K} \in Q_{p}(K)^{d+1} \text { for all } K \in \Omega_{h}\right\},
\end{aligned}
$$

where $P_{p}(K)$ and $Q_{p}(K)$ denote spaces of polynomials of total degree $\leq p$, and of degree at most $p$ in each variable, respectively.

- $Q_{h}=D_{h} V_{h}$


## The ideal DPG method and a priori error estimates

## Theorem

Let $u \in V \cap H^{s+1}(\Omega)^{d+1}$ and $\lambda=D_{h} u$ solve (1). Let $U_{h}$ and $V_{h}$ be as in one of the previously introduced cases depending on the mesh type, and $Q_{h}=D_{h} V_{h}$. Then

$$
\left\|u-u_{h}\right\|+\left\|\lambda-\lambda_{h}\right\|_{Q} \leq c h^{s}|u|_{H^{s+1}(\Omega)^{d+1}}
$$

for $(d-1) / 2<s \leq p+1$.

## Proof.

Blackboard.
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## Implementation of practical DPG and numerical results

- $W_{h}$ replaced by $Y_{h}^{r}$

Case $\mathrm{A}:: Y_{h}^{r}=\left\{w \in W_{h}(\Omega):\left.w\right|_{K} \in P_{r}(K)^{d+1}\right\}$,
Case $\mathrm{B}:: Y_{h}^{r}=\left\{w \in W_{h}(\Omega):\left.w\right|_{K} \in Q_{r}(K)^{d+1}\right\}$.

- Considered the mixed formulation

Find: $\varepsilon_{h} \in Y_{h}^{r}$ and $\left(u_{h}, \lambda_{h}\right) \in\left(U_{h} \times Q_{h}\right)$ such that

$$
\begin{align*}
\left(\varepsilon_{h}, w\right)_{h}+b\left(\left(u_{h}, \lambda_{h}\right), w\right) & =F(w) & \text { for all } w \in Y_{h}^{r},  \tag{5}\\
b\left((v, \rho), \varepsilon_{h}\right) & =0 & \text { for all }(v, \rho) \in U_{h} \times Q_{h}
\end{align*}
$$

- $r=p+d+1$


## Implementation of practical DPG and numerical results

- For the implementation of (5), $\lambda_{h}=D_{h} z_{h}$ for some $z_{h} \in V_{h}$ and

$$
\begin{align*}
\left(\varepsilon_{h}, w\right)_{h}+b\left(\left(u_{h}, D_{h} z_{h}\right), w\right) & =F(w) & \text { for all } w \in Y_{h}^{r}  \tag{6}\\
b\left(\left(v, D_{h} r\right), \varepsilon_{h}\right) & =0 & \text { for all }(v, r) \in U_{h} \times V_{h}
\end{align*}
$$

is considered.

- Decomposition of $V_{h}$ into $V_{h}^{0}=\left\{z \in V_{h}:\left.z\right|_{\partial K}=0\right.$ for all $\left.K \in \Omega_{h}\right\}$ and remainder $V_{h}^{1}=V_{h} \backslash V_{h}^{0}$.
- $b\left(\left(v, D_{h} V_{h}^{0}\right), w\right)=0$, thus replace $V_{h}$ by $V_{h}^{1}$ in (6).


## Implementation of practical DPG and numerical results

- Yields the matrix equation

$$
\left[\begin{array}{ll}
A & B  \tag{7}\\
B^{\top} & 0
\end{array}\right]\left[\begin{array}{l}
e \\
x
\end{array}\right]=\left[\begin{array}{l}
f \\
0
\end{array}\right]
$$

where $e$ and $x$ are the vectors of coefficients in the basis expansion of $\varepsilon_{h} \in Y_{h}^{r}$ and $\left(u_{h}, z_{h}\right) \in U_{h} \times V_{h}$, respectively,
$[A]_{k l}=\left(y_{l}, y_{k}\right)_{h},\left[B_{0}\right]_{k i}=b\left(\left(u_{i}, 0\right), y_{k}\right),\left[B_{1}\right]=b\left(\left(0, D_{h} z_{j}\right), y_{k}\right)$ and $B=\left[B_{0}, B_{1}\right]$.

- $r=p+d+1$
- $\mathcal{N}(A)=\mathcal{N}\left(B_{0}\right)=\{0\}$
- $B_{1}$ may have a nontrivial kernel


## Techniques to solve despite the null space

- Technique 1: Remaining orthogonal to null space in conjugate gradients
- Instead of (7) solve Schur complement system

$$
\underbrace{B^{\top} A^{-1} B}_{=: C} x=B^{\top} A^{-1} f
$$

by means of CG

- $\operatorname{ker} C=\operatorname{ker} B=\operatorname{ker} B_{1}$
- Convergence if $K_{n}\left(C, r_{0}\right)$ remains $\ell^{2}$ orthogonal to $\operatorname{ker} C$ for all $n$
- $x_{0}=0 \Longrightarrow r_{0}=B^{\top} A^{-1} f \in \mathcal{R}\left(B^{\top}\right)=(\operatorname{ker} C)^{\perp}$
- $C^{n} r_{0}$ is orthogonal to $\operatorname{ker} C$ for all $n \geq 1$


## Techniques to solve despite the null space

- Technique 2: Regularization of the linear system
- Rewriting $B^{\top} A^{-1} B x=B^{\top} A^{-1} f$ in block form yields

$$
\left[\begin{array}{cc}
B_{0}^{\top} A^{-1} B_{0} & B_{0}^{\top} A^{-1} B_{1} \\
B_{1}^{\top} A^{-1} B_{0} & B_{1}^{\top} A^{-1} B_{1}
\end{array}\right] x=B^{\top} A^{-1} f
$$

- Solving the invertible system

$$
\left[\begin{array}{ll}
B_{0}^{\top} A^{-1} B_{0} & B_{0}^{\top} A^{-1} B_{1} \\
B_{1}^{\top} A^{-1} B_{0} & B_{1}^{\top} A^{-1} B_{1}+\alpha M
\end{array}\right] x=B^{\top} A^{-1} f
$$

with the mass matrix $M_{j l}=\left(z_{l}, z_{j}\right)$ and $\alpha>0$, e.g., $\alpha=10^{-9}$.

## Convergence rates in two-dimensional spacetime

- $\Omega=(0,1)^{2}$, homogeneous boundary and initial conditions, the exact solution of the second order wave equation is given by

$$
\phi(x, t)=\sin (\pi x) \sin ^{2}(\pi t)
$$

which results in a solution

$$
u=\left[\begin{array}{l}
\pi \cos (\pi x) \sin ^{2}(\pi t) \\
\pi \sin (\pi x) \sin (2 \pi t)
\end{array}\right]
$$

of the first order system

- $g=0, f=\pi^{2} \sin (\pi x)\left(2 \cos (2 \pi t)+\sin ^{2}(\pi t)\right)$


## Convergence rates in two-dimensional spacetime

| $h$ | $p=0$ | Order | $p=1$ | Order | $p=2$ | Order | $p=3$ | Order |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $1 / 4$ | $1.2849 \mathrm{e}+00$ | - | $1.5371 \mathrm{e}-01$ | - | $2.0385 \mathrm{e}-02$ | - | $1.2619 \mathrm{e}-03$ | - |
| $1 / 8$ | $5.6379 \mathrm{e}-01$ | 1.19 | $5.6127 \mathrm{e}-02$ | 1.45 | $4.7540 \mathrm{e}-03$ | 2.10 | $1.5370 \mathrm{e}-04$ | +3.04 |
| $1 / 16$ | $2.2067 \mathrm{e}-01$ | 1.35 | $1.2472 \mathrm{e}-02$ | 2.17 | $5.4897 \mathrm{e}-04$ | 3.11 | $7.8519 \mathrm{e}-06$ | +4.29 |
| $1 / 32$ | $1.0214 \mathrm{e}-01$ | 1.11 | $3.0308 \mathrm{e}-03$ | 2.04 | $6.6955 \mathrm{e}-05$ | 3.00 | $4.7863 \mathrm{e}-07$ | +4.04 |

Table 1. Convergence rates for $\left\|u-u_{h}\right\|$ on triangular meshes using Technique 1.

| $h$ | $p=0$ | Order | $p=1$ | Order | $p=2$ | Order | $p=3$ | Order |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $1 / 4$ | $9.7226 \mathrm{e}-01$ | - | $1.6834 \mathrm{e}-01$ | - | $6.6722 \mathrm{e}-03$ | - | $2.0910 \mathrm{e}-03$ | - |
| $1 / 8$ | $4.7357 \mathrm{e}-01$ | 1.04 | $4.2869 \mathrm{e}-02$ | 1.97 | $8.5059 \mathrm{e}-04$ | 2.97 | $1.3308 \mathrm{e}-04$ | 3.97 |
| $1 / 16$ | $2.3291 \mathrm{e}-01$ | 1.35 | $1.0763 \mathrm{e}-02$ | 1.99 | $1.0707 \mathrm{e}-04$ | 2.99 | $8.3773 \mathrm{e}-06$ | 3.99 |
| $1 / 32$ | $1.1587 \mathrm{e}-01$ | 1.11 | $2.6935 \mathrm{e}-03$ | 2.00 | $1.3409 \mathrm{e}-05$ | 3.00 | $5.2613 \mathrm{e}-07$ | 3.99 |

Table 2. Convergence rates for $\left\|u-u_{h}\right\|$ on rectangular meshes using Technique 1.
Figure: $L^{2}$ convergence rates for $u_{h}$, taken from [J. Gopalakrishnan and P. Sepúlveda, (2017)]

## Adaptivity

- $\Omega=(0,1)^{2}, f=g=0$, homogeneous boundary conditions, i.e., $\mu=0$ and non-zero initial conditions

$$
\left.\mu\right|_{t=0}=-\phi_{0},\left.q\right|_{t=0}=\phi_{0}
$$

with

$$
\phi_{0}(x)=\exp \left(-1000\left((x-0.5)^{2}\right)\right)
$$

## Adaptivity



Figure: Numerical pressure $\mu$, adaptive refinement, setting $\mathrm{p}=3$, taken from [J. Gopalakrishnan and P. Sepúlveda, (2017)]

## Convergence rates in three-dimensional spacetime

- $\Omega=(0,1)^{3}$, homogeneous boundary and initial conditions, the exact solution of the second order wave equation is given by

$$
\phi(x, t)=\sin (\pi x) \sin (\pi y) t^{2}
$$

which results in a solution

$$
u=\left[\begin{array}{l}
\pi \cos (\pi x) \sin (\pi y) t^{2} \\
\pi \cos (\pi y) \sin (2 \pi x) t^{2} \\
2 \sin (\pi x) \sin (\pi y) t
\end{array}\right]
$$

of the first order system

- $g=0, f=\sin (\pi x) \sin (\pi y)\left(2+2 \pi^{2} t^{2}\right)$


## Convergence rates in three-dimensional spacetime

| $h$ | $p=0$ | Order | $p=1$ | Order | $p=2$ | Order | $p=3$ | Order |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | $9.0604 \mathrm{e}-01$ | - | $4.7829 \mathrm{e}-01$ | - | $1.4146 \mathrm{e}-01$ | - | $4.3952 \mathrm{e}-02$ | - |
| $1 / 2$ | $6.0557 \mathrm{e}-01$ | 0.58 | $1.3924 \mathrm{e}-01$ | 1.78 | $1.3912 \mathrm{e}-02$ | 3.35 | $3.2845 \mathrm{e}-03$ | 3.74 |
| $1 / 4$ | $3.3896 \mathrm{e}-01$ | 0.84 | $3.3508 \mathrm{e}-02$ | 2.05 | $1.4769 \mathrm{e}-03$ | 3.24 | $1.6490 \mathrm{e}-04$ | 4.32 |
| $1 / 8$ | $1.5469 \mathrm{e}-01$ | 1.13 | $8.9554 \mathrm{e}-03$ | 1.90 | $1.7210 \mathrm{e}-04$ | 3.10 | $9.9691 \mathrm{e}-06$ | 4.05 |

Table 3. Convergence rates for $\left\|u-u_{h}\right\|$ on tetrahedral meshes obtained using Technique 2.

| $h$ | $p=0$ | Order | $p=1$ | Order | $p=2$ | Order | $p=3$ | Order |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | $1.1149 \mathrm{e}+00$ | - | $6.0068 \mathrm{e}-01$ | - | $2.8828 \mathrm{e}-02$ | - | $3.3262 \mathrm{e}-02$ | - |
| $1 / 2$ | $7.5769 \mathrm{e}-01$ | 0.56 | $1.5124 \mathrm{e}-01$ | 1.99 | $2.8264 \mathrm{e}-03$ | 3.35 | $2.0540 \mathrm{e}-03$ | 4.02 |
| $1 / 4$ | $4.2035 \mathrm{e}-01$ | 0.85 | $3.8592 \mathrm{e}-02$ | 1.97 | $3.5256 \mathrm{e}-04$ | 3.00 | $1.3234 \mathrm{e}-04$ | 3.96 |
| $1 / 8$ | $2.1338 \mathrm{e}-01$ | 0.98 | $9.6918 \mathrm{e}-03$ | 1.99 | $3.8023 \mathrm{e}-05$ | 3.21 | $9.3766 \mathrm{e}-06$ | 3.82 |

Table 4. Convergence rates for $\left\|u-u_{h}\right\|$ on hexahedral meshes using Technique 1.
Figure: $L^{2}$ convergence rates for $u_{h}$, taken from [J. Gopalakrishnan and P.
Sepúlveda, (2017)]
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