# First Initial-Boundary Value Problem for General Parabolic Equations

Andreas Schafelner

Johannes Kepler Universität Linz

November 15, 2016

A. Schafelner (JKU Linz)

1st IBVP for Parabolic Equations

November 15, 2016

## The Problem

Let  $Q_T = \Omega \times (0,T)$  be a space-time cylinder with a sufficiently smooth boundary. We consider the problem

$$\mathcal{M}u \equiv u_t - \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i} (a_{ij}(x,t)u_{x_j} + a_i(x,t)u) + b_i(x,t)u_{x_i} + a(x,t)u = f + \frac{\partial f_i}{\partial x_i}$$
(1)  
$$u|_{t=0} = \varphi(x), \qquad u|_{S_T} = 0$$
(2)

with the conditions  $a_{ij} = a_{ji}$ ,

$$\sqrt{\sum_{i=1}^{n} a_i^2}, \quad \sqrt{\sum_{i=1}^{n} b_i^2}, \quad |a| \le \mu,$$
 (3)

$$\varphi \in L_2(\Omega), \quad f \in L_{2,1}(\mathcal{Q}_T), \quad f_i \in L_2(\mathcal{Q}_T)$$
 (4)

and

$$\nu\xi^2 \le a_{ij}(x,t)\xi_i\xi_j \le \mu\xi^2, \quad \nu,\mu = const > 0.$$

A. Schafelner (JKU Linz)

November 15, 2016

## Motivation

Consider an electrical machine with the follwing assumptions:

$$\hat{\Omega} = \Omega \times (-\infty, +\infty) 2 \quad J_i = (0, 0, J_3(x_1, x_2))^T 3 \quad M = H_0 = (H_{01}(x_1, x_2), H_{02}(x_1, x_2), 0)^T, \\ H = (H_1(x_1, x_2), H_2(x_1, x_2), 0)^T$$

With the ansatz B = curlA, where  $A = (0, 0, u(x_1, x_2))^T$ , we obtain the equations

$$u_t - \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i} (\nu(x,t)u_{x_i}) = J_3(x,t) - \left(\frac{\partial H_{01}}{x_2} - \frac{\partial H_{02}}{x_1}\right)$$

A. Schafelner (JKU Linz)

- 3

(日) (周) (三) (三)

## Roadmap

- **1** Derive an a priori bound for  $|u|_{Q_t}$
- **2** Proof of solvability in the space  $H^{1,0}(\mathcal{Q}_T)$
- Show that such a generalized solution is in  $\mathring{V}_{2}^{1,0}(\mathcal{Q}_{T})$
- Proof of uniqueness in  $H^{1,0}(\mathcal{Q}_T)$

Deriving of a bound for  $|u|_{Q_t}$ 

To get a bound for the norm  $|u|_{Q_t}$ , we need to derive an energy balance equation. We follow the procedure:

• Multiply the PDE with  $\boldsymbol{u}$ 

$$(\mathcal{M}u)u = (f + \frac{\partial f_i}{\partial x_i})u$$

• Integrate over the domain  $\mathcal{Q}_t := \Omega \times (0,t)$ 

$$\int_{\mathcal{Q}_t} (\mathcal{M}u) u \, \mathrm{d}x \mathrm{d}t = \int_{\mathcal{Q}_t} (f + \frac{\partial f_i}{\partial x_i}) u \, \mathrm{d}x \mathrm{d}t$$

A. Schafelner (JKU Linz)

くほと くほと くほと

# An Energy Balance Equation

We continue with

- Integration by parts and incorporation of the boundary conditions
- Rewriting the time derivative and obtaining the *energy balance* equation

$$\frac{1}{2} \|u(\cdot,t)\|_{2,\Omega}^2 + \int_{\mathcal{Q}_t} (a_{ij}u_{x_j}u_{x_i} + a_iuu_{x_i} + b_iu_{x_i}u + au^2) \, \mathrm{d}x \mathrm{d}t$$
$$= \frac{1}{2} \|u(\cdot,0)\|_{2,\Omega}^2 + \int_{\mathcal{Q}_t} (fu - f_iu_{x_i}) \, \mathrm{d}x \mathrm{d}t \quad (6)$$

We will use this equation to derive a bound for

$$|u|_{\mathcal{Q}_t} := \max_{0 \le \tau \le t} ||u(\cdot, \tau)||_{2,\Omega} + ||u_x||_{2,\mathcal{Q}_t}.$$

不同 とう きょうちょう

# Deriving the bound

From (6) we obtain

$$\begin{split} \frac{1}{2} \| u(\cdot,t) \|_{2,\Omega}^2 &+ \nu \| u_x \|_{2,\mathcal{Q}_t}^2 \\ &\leq & \frac{1}{2} \| u(\cdot,0) \|_{2,\Omega}^2 + \frac{\nu}{2} \| u_x \|_{2,\mathcal{Q}_t}^2 + (\frac{2\mu^2}{\nu} + \mu) \| u \|_{2,\mathcal{Q}_t}^2 \\ &+ \| f \|_{2,1,\mathcal{Q}_t} \max_{0 \leq \tau \leq t} \| u(\cdot,\tau) \|_{2,\Omega} + \| f \|_{2,\mathcal{Q}_t} \| u_x \|_{2,\mathcal{Q}_t}. \end{split}$$

With some rewriting, we obtain then

$$\begin{aligned} \|u(\cdot,t)\|_{2,\Omega}^2 + \|u_x\|_{2,\mathcal{Q}_t}^2 \\ \leq y(t)\|u(\cdot,0)\|_{2,\Omega} + cty(t)^2 \\ + 2y(t)\|f\|_{2,1,\mathcal{Q}_t} + 2\|f\|_{2,\mathcal{Q}_t}\|u_x\|_{2,\mathcal{Q}_t} \equiv j(t) \end{aligned}$$

with  $y(t) = \max_{0 \le \tau \le t} \|u(\cdot, \tau)\|_{2,\Omega}$  and  $c = 2((2\mu^2)/\nu + \mu)$ .

With this equation, we further obtain two inequalities

$$y(t)^2 \le j(t),$$
  
 $||u_x||^2_{2,Q_t} \le \nu^{-1} j(t).$ 

Taking the square root and adding them up yields

$$\begin{aligned} |u|_{\mathcal{Q}_{t}} &\equiv y(t) + ||u_{x}||_{2,\mathcal{Q}_{t}} \\ &\leq (1+\nu^{-\frac{1}{2}})\sqrt{ct}|u|_{\mathcal{Q}_{t}} + (1+\nu^{-\frac{1}{2}})|u|_{\mathcal{Q}_{t}}^{-1/2} \\ &\times \left(||u(\cdot,0)||_{2,\Omega} + 2||f||_{2,1,\mathcal{Q}_{t}} + ||f||_{2,\mathcal{Q}_{t}}\right)^{1/2} \end{aligned}$$

For  $t < t_1 \equiv (1+\nu^{-\frac{1}{2}})^{-2}c^{-1}$  , we get the estimate

$$|u|_{\mathcal{Q}_t} \leq (1+\nu^{-\frac{1}{2}})^2 (1-(1+\nu^{-\frac{1}{2}})\sqrt{ct})^{-2} \\ \times \left( \|u(\cdot,0)\|_{2,\Omega} + 2\|f\|_{2,1,\mathcal{Q}_t} + \|f\|_{2,\mathcal{Q}_t} \right)$$

イロト 不得下 イヨト イヨト

- 34

We subdivide out time interval [0,t] into subintervals  $\Delta_l$ , i.e,  $\Delta_1 = [0, \frac{1}{2}t_1], \Delta_2 = [\frac{1}{2}t_1, t_1], \ldots$ , where length of the last interval  $\Delta_N$  does not exceed  $t_1/2$ . On each of these subintervals we have now such a bound, therefore we obtain

$$|u|_{\mathcal{Q}_t} \le c_1(t)\mathcal{F}(t), \quad \forall t \in [0,T]$$
 (7)

with the constant  $c_1(t)$  depending on  $\mu$ ,  $\nu$  and t and with

 $\mathcal{F}(t) = \|u(\cdot, 0)\|_{2,\Omega} + 2\|f\|_{2,1,\mathcal{Q}_t} + \|\boldsymbol{f}\|_{2,\mathcal{Q}_T}.$ 

A. Schafelner (JKU Linz)

# Definition of a Generalized Solution

#### Definition

We call  $u \in H^{1,0}(\mathcal{Q}_T)$  a generalized solution in  $H^{1,0}(\mathcal{Q}_T)$  (or  $\mathring{H}^{1,0}(\mathcal{Q}_T)$ ), if it satisfies the integral identity

$$\mathcal{M}(u,\eta) \equiv \int_{\mathcal{Q}_T} (-u\eta_t + a_{ij}u_{x_j}\eta_{x_i} + a_iu\eta_{x_i} + b_iu_{x_i}\eta + au\eta) \,\mathrm{d}x\mathrm{d}t$$
$$= \int_{\Omega} \varphi\eta(x,0) \,\mathrm{d}x + \int_{\mathcal{Q}_T} (f\eta - f_i\eta_{x_i}) \,\mathrm{d}x\mathrm{d}t \quad (8)$$

for all  $\eta \in \hat{H}_0^1(\mathcal{Q}_T) := \{ v \in H_0^1(\mathcal{Q}_T) : v |_{t=T} = 0 \}.$ 

A. Schafelner (JKU Linz)

1st IBVP for Parabolic Equations

November 15, 2016 9

# Definition of a Generalized Solution

#### Definition

We call  $u \in H^{1,0}(\mathcal{Q}_T)$  a generalized solution in  $H^{1,0}(\mathcal{Q}_T)$  (or  $\mathring{H}^{1,0}(\mathcal{Q}_T)$ ), if it satisfies the integral identity

$$\mathcal{M}(u,\eta) \equiv \int_{\mathcal{Q}_T} (-u\eta_t + a_{ij}u_{x_j}\eta_{x_i} + a_iu\eta_{x_i} + b_iu_{x_i}\eta + au\eta) \,\mathrm{d}x\mathrm{d}t$$
$$= \int_{\Omega} \varphi\eta(x,0) \,\mathrm{d}x + \int_{\mathcal{Q}_T} (f\eta - f_i\eta_{x_i}) \,\mathrm{d}x\mathrm{d}t \quad (8)$$

for all  $\eta \in \hat{H}_0^1(\mathcal{Q}_T) := \{ v \in H_0^1(\mathcal{Q}_T) : v |_{t=T} = 0 \}.$ 

Does our problem have a generalized solution in  $\mathring{H}^{1,0}(\mathcal{Q}_T)$ ?

A. Schafelner (JKU Linz)

## Proof of Solvability

We use a Galerkin approach. We take a fundamental system  $\{\varphi_k(x)\}$  in  $\mathring{H}^1(\Omega)$ , which has been orthonormalized w.r. to  $L_2(\Omega)$ . Moreover, let  $u^N(x,t) = \sum_{k=1}^N c_k^N(t)\varphi_k(x)$  be an approximate solution of the system

$$(u_{t}^{N},\varphi_{l}) + (a_{ij}u_{x_{i}}^{N} + a_{i}u^{N},(\varphi_{l})_{x_{i}}) + (b_{i}u_{x_{i}}^{N} + au^{N},\varphi_{l})$$
  
=  $(f,\varphi_{l}) - (f_{i},(\varphi_{l})_{x_{i}}), \quad l = 1,...,N,$   
 $c_{l}^{N}(0) = (\varphi,\varphi_{l})$   
(9)

This is a system of N ODEs for  $c_l(t) \equiv c_l^N(t)$ , with principal terms  $dc_l(t)/dt$ . This system has a unique solution  $c_l^N(t)$  on [0, T].

A. Schafelner (JKU Linz)

# A bound for $u^N$

Next, we multiply each equation in (9) with the corresponding  $c_l^N$ , sum them all up and integrate w.r.t. t from 0 to  $t \leq T$ :

$$\begin{split} \int_{\mathcal{Q}_t} (u_t^N u^N + a_{ij} u_{x_j}^N u_{x_i}^N + a_i u^N u_{x_i}^N + b_i u_{x_i}^N u^N + a(u^N)^2) \, \mathrm{d}x \mathrm{d}t \\ &= \int_{\mathcal{Q}_t} (f u^N - f_i u_{x_i}^N) \, \mathrm{d}x \mathrm{d}t \end{split}$$

3

(日) (周) (三) (三)

# A bound for $u^N$

Next, we multiply each equation in (9) with the corresponding  $c_l^N$ , sum them all up and integrate w.r.t. t from 0 to  $t \leq T$ :

$$\begin{split} \int_{\mathcal{Q}_t} (u_t^N u^N + a_{ij} u_{x_j}^N u_{x_i}^N + a_i u^N u_{x_i}^N + b_i u_{x_i}^N u^N + a(u^N)^2) \, \mathrm{d}x \mathrm{d}t \\ &= \int_{\mathcal{Q}_t} (f u^N - f_i u_{x_i}^N) \, \mathrm{d}x \mathrm{d}t \end{split}$$

As before, the energy balance equation holds and moreover the bound

$$|u^N|_{\mathcal{Q}_t} \le c_1(t),$$

where  $c_1(t)$  is a constant independent of N.

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲∃▶ ▲∃▶ = ののの

The sequence  $\{u^N\}$  is bounded, it has a subsequence  $\{u^{N_k}\}$ , that converges weakly, together with the derivatives  $u_{x_i}^{N_k}$ , in  $L_2(\mathcal{Q}_T)$  to some element  $u(x,t) \in \mathring{H}^{1,0}(\mathcal{Q}_T)$ . This element u(x,t) is the desired generalized solution.

The sequence  $\{u^N\}$  is bounded, it has a subsequence  $\{u^{N_k}\}$ , that converges weakly, together with the derivatives  $u_{x_i}^{N_k}$ , in  $L_2(\mathcal{Q}_T)$  to some element  $u(x,t) \in \mathring{H}^{1,0}(\mathcal{Q}_T)$ . This element u(x,t) is the desired generalized solution.

We multiply each equation in (9) with some arbitrary, absolutely continuous function  $d_l(t)$ , with  $dd_l/dt \in L_2(0,T)$  and  $d_l(T) = 0$ . Again, we add them up, integrate over (0,T) and obtain the result

$$\mathcal{M}(u^N, \mathbf{\Phi}) = \int_{\Omega} \varphi \mathbf{\Phi}|_{t=0} \, \mathrm{d}x + \int_{\mathcal{Q}_T} (f \mathbf{\Phi} - f_i \mathbf{\Phi}_{x_i}) \, \mathrm{d}x \mathrm{d}t$$

with  $\mathcal{M}(\cdot, \cdot)$  as before and  $\Phi(x, t) = \sum_{l=1}^{N} d_l(t) \varphi_l(x)$ .

A. Schafelner (JKU Linz)

We denote by  $\mathfrak{M}_N$  the set of functions  $d_l(t)$ , l = 1, ..., N, which fulfil the conditions above. The totality  $\bigcup_{p=1}^{\infty} \mathfrak{M}_p$  is dense in the subspace  $\hat{H}_0^1(\mathcal{Q}_T)$  of  $H_0^1(\mathcal{Q}_T)$ .

We denote by  $\mathfrak{M}_N$  the set of functions  $d_l(t)$ , l = 1, ..., N, which fulfil the conditions above. The totality  $\bigcup_{p=1}^{\infty} \mathfrak{M}_p$  is dense in the subspace  $\hat{H}_0^1(\mathcal{Q}_T)$  of  $H_0^1(\mathcal{Q}_T)$ .

We fix now a  $\Phi \in \mathfrak{M}_p$  and take the limit of the subsequence  $\{u^{N_k}\}$ , starting with  $N_k \ge p$ . We obtain the definition of a generalized solution (8) for u(x,t), with  $\eta = \Phi \in \mathfrak{M}_p$ . As the union  $\bigcup_{p=1}^{\infty} \mathfrak{M}_p$  is dense in  $\hat{H}_0^1(\mathcal{Q}_T)$ , the definition holds for any  $\eta \in \hat{H}_0^1(\mathcal{Q}_T)$ , that means u(x,t) is indeed a generalized solution in  $\mathring{H}^{1,0}(\mathcal{Q}_T)$ .

## An Existence Theorem

#### Theorem 3.1

If the conditions  $a_{ij} = a_{ji}$ ,

$$\sqrt{\sum_{i=1}^{n} a_i^2}, \quad \sqrt{\sum_{i=1}^{n} b_i^2}, \quad |a| \le \mu, \\
\varphi \in L_2(\Omega), \quad f \in L_{2,1}(\mathcal{Q}_T), \quad f_i \in L_2(\mathcal{Q}_T)$$

and

$$\nu\xi^2 \le a_{ij}(x,t)\xi_i\xi_j \le \mu\xi^2, \quad \nu,\mu = const > 0.$$

are fulfilled, the problem has a generalized solution in  $\mathring{H}^{1,0}(\mathcal{Q}_T)$ 

A. Schafelner (JKU Linz)

1st IBVP for Parabolic Equations

## An Existence Theorem

#### Theorem 3.1

If the conditions  $a_{ij} = a_{ji}$ ,

$$\sqrt{\sum_{i=1}^{n} a_i^2}, \quad \sqrt{\sum_{i=1}^{n} b_i^2}, \quad |a| \le \mu,$$
$$\varphi \in L_2(\Omega), \quad f \in L_{2,1}(\mathcal{Q}_T), \quad f_i \in L_2(\mathcal{Q}_T)$$

and

$$\nu\xi^2 \le a_{ij}(x,t)\xi_i\xi_j \le \mu\xi^2, \quad \nu,\mu = const > 0.$$

are fulfilled, the problem has a generalized solution in  $\mathring{H}^{1,0}(\mathcal{Q}_T)$ 

But is this solution unique?

A. Schafelner (JKU Linz)

#### Repetition

# Uniqueness of a Solution

We will now use the following two theorems from the last presentation. Theorem 2.2

The problem

$$u_t - \Delta u = f + \frac{\partial f_i}{\partial x_i}$$
$$u|_{t=0} = \varphi(x), \qquad u|_{S_T} = 0$$

cannot have more than one generalized solution in  $L_2(\mathcal{Q}_T)$ .

A. Schafelner (JKU Linz)

1st IBVP for Parabolic Equations

November 15, 2016 15 / 22

# Uniqueness of a Solution

We will now use the following two theorems from the last presentation. Theorem 2.2

The problem

$$u_t - \Delta u = f + \frac{\partial f_i}{\partial x_i}$$
$$u|_{t=0} = \varphi(x), \qquad u|_{S_T} = 0$$

cannot have more than one generalized solution in  $L_2(\mathcal{Q}_T)$ .

#### Theorem 2.3

The problem in Thm. 2.2 has a generalized solution in  $\mathring{V}_{2}^{1,0}(\mathcal{Q}_{T})$  for  $\varphi \in L_{2}(\Omega)$ ,  $f \in L_{2,1}(\mathcal{Q}_{T})$ , and  $f_{i} \in L_{2}(\mathcal{Q}_{T})$ .

Now we consider our generalized solution  $u \in \mathring{H}^{1,0}(\mathcal{Q}_T)$  as a generalized solution in  $L_2(\mathcal{Q}_T)$  for the problem

$$u_t - \Delta u = \tilde{f} + \frac{\partial f_i}{\partial x_i}$$
(10)  
$$u|_{t=0} = \varphi(x), \qquad u|_{S_T} = 0$$
(11)

with  $\tilde{f} = f - b_i u_{x_i} - au$  and  $\tilde{f}_i = f_i + a_{ij} u_{x_j} + a_i u - u_{x_i}$ . It holds that  $\tilde{f} \in L_{2,1}(\mathcal{Q}_T)$  and  $\tilde{f}_i \in L_2(\mathcal{Q}_T)$ .

We transform the identity

$$\mathcal{M}(u,\eta) = \int_{\Omega} \varphi \eta(x,0) \, \mathrm{d}x + \int_{\mathcal{Q}_T} (f\eta - f_i \eta_{x_i}) \, \mathrm{d}x \mathrm{d}t$$

to

$$\int_{\mathcal{Q}_T} u(\eta_t + \Delta \eta) \, \mathrm{d}x \mathrm{d}t + \int_{\Omega} \varphi \eta(x, 0) \, \mathrm{d}x = \int_{\mathcal{Q}_T} (-\tilde{f}\eta + \tilde{f}_i \eta_{x_i}) \, \mathrm{d}x \mathrm{d}t \quad (12)$$

which holds for all  $H_0^{\Delta,1}(\mathcal{Q}_T)$  with  $\eta|_{t=T} = 0$ .

A. Schafelner (JKU Linz)

3

< (17) × <

Then it follows from Thm. 2.2 that u is indeed unique in  $L_2(\mathcal{Q}_T)$  and from Thm. 2.3 the problem (12) has a generalized solution in  $\mathring{V}_2^{1,0}(\mathcal{Q}_T)$ .

Then it follows from Thm. 2.2 that u is indeed unique in  $L_2(\mathcal{Q}_T)$  and from Thm. 2.3 the problem (12) has a generalized solution in  $\mathring{V}_2^{1,0}(\mathcal{Q}_T)$ . Moreover, it holds that

$$\frac{1}{2} \|u(\cdot,t)\|_{2,\Omega}^2 + \|u_x\|_{2,\mathcal{Q}_t}^2 = \frac{1}{2} \|u(\cdot,0)\|_{2,\Omega}^2 + \int_{\mathcal{Q}_t} (\tilde{f}u - \tilde{f}_i u_{x_i}) \, \mathrm{d}x \mathrm{d}t \quad (13)$$

and

$$\int_{\Omega} u(x,t)\eta(x,t) \, \mathrm{d}x - \int_{\Omega} \varphi \eta(x,0) \, \mathrm{d}x + \int_{\mathcal{Q}_t} (-u\eta_t + u_x\eta_x) \, \mathrm{d}x \mathrm{d}t = \int_{\mathcal{Q}_t} (\tilde{f}\eta - \tilde{f}_i\eta_{x_i}) \, \mathrm{d}x \mathrm{d}t.$$
(14)

A. Schafelner (JKU Linz)

We can easily rewrite (13) to our energy balance equation (6) and we rewrite (14) to

$$\int_{\Omega} u(x,t)\eta(x,t) \, \mathrm{d}x - \int_{\Omega} \varphi \eta(x,0) \, \mathrm{d}x + \int_{\mathcal{Q}_t} (-u\eta_t + a_{ij}u_{x_j}\eta_{x_i} + a_i u\eta_{x_i} + b_i u_{x_i}\eta + au\eta) \, \mathrm{d}x \mathrm{d}t = \int_{\mathcal{Q}_t} (f\eta - f_i\eta_{x_i}) \, \mathrm{d}x \mathrm{d}t.$$
(15)

We have now proved that any generalized solution in  $\mathring{H}^{1,0}(\mathcal{Q}_T)$  is a generalized solution in  $\mathring{V}_2^{1,0}(\mathcal{Q}_T)$  and they fulfil the energy balance equation (6) and (15). Are these solutions unique?

Suppose we have two generalized solutions  $u' \neq u''$  for the same right hand side and initial data, then the difference u = u' - u'' is also a generalized solution in  $\mathring{H}^{1,0}(\mathcal{Q}_T)$  with zero right hand side and homogeneous initial data. We have shown that it is then also a generalized solution in  $\mathring{V}_2^{1,0}(\mathcal{Q}_T)$  and fulfils

$$\frac{1}{2} \|u(\cdot,t)\|_{2,\Omega}^2 + \|u_x\|_{2,\mathcal{Q}_t}^2 = 0$$

as well as

 $|u|_{\mathcal{Q}_t} \le 0.$ 

From this, we deduce that u = 0 and moreover u' = u'', hence it is unique in  $H^{1,0}(\mathcal{Q}_T)$ .

# An Uniqueness Theorem

With these arguments, it follows that the operator B, which assigns each  $\{f; f_i; \varphi\}$  its generalized solution in  $\mathring{V}_2^{1,0}(\mathcal{Q}_T)$  is linear and that the energy balance equation is a result of (15).

#### Theorem

Under the assumptions of Theorem 3.1, any generalized solution from  $H^{1,0}(\mathcal{Q}_T)$  is the generalized solution in  $\mathring{V}_2^{1,0}(\mathcal{Q}_T)$  and it is unique in  $H^{1,0}(\mathcal{Q}_T)$ .

## Thank you for your attention!

A. Schafelner (JKU Linz)

1st IBVP for Parabolic Equations

November 15, 2016

< 67 ▶

3