High order FEM vs. IgA by J.A. Evans, T.J. Hughes and A. Reali, 2014

Rainer Schneckenleitner

JKU Linz

rainer.schneckenleitner@gmx.at

Jan 20, 2017

Rainer Schneckenleitner (SS16)

Isogeometric Analysis

Jan 20, 2017 1 / 58

Introduction

- 2 The Elliptic eigenvalue problem
- The Elliptic boundary value problem
- 4 The Parabolic initial-value problem
- 5 The Hyperbolic initial-value problem
- 6 Own results

Introduction

Rainer Schneckenleitner (SS16)

Isogeometric Analysis

Jan 20, 2017 3 / 58

• • • • • • • •

æ

- Spectral approximation properties of FE and B-splines
- Investigation of eigenvalue approximation in former papers
 - \rightarrow Good approximation quality of B-spline
 - \rightarrow FE approximation diverged with p

What are the effects of this results to BVP and IVP?

• This question will be answered throughout this presentation

- Need approximations from a global perspective
- "Pythagorean eigenvalue error theorem" pertains to all modes

- Only the Laplace operator is considered
- Domain $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^d$ is bounded and connected
- $\partial \Omega$ is Lipschitz
- $H^m(\Omega) := \{ f \in L^2 | D^{\alpha} f \in L^2, \forall | \alpha | \le m \}$
- $V \subseteq (H^m(\Omega))^n$ closed
- Functions in V satisfy appropriate boundary conditions
- $d, m, n \in \mathbb{N}$

ullet (\cdot,\cdot) and $a(\cdot,\cdot)$ are symmetric, bilinear with

1
$$a(v, w) \le ||v||_E ||w||_E$$

2 $||w||_E^2 = a(w, w)$
3 $(v, w) \le ||v|| ||w||$
4 $||w||^2 = (w, w)$

where $\|\cdot\|_E$ is the energy norm which is equivalent to the $(H^m(\Omega))^n$ norm on V, $\|\cdot\|$ is the $L^2(\Omega)^n$ norm, $v, w \in V$

Rainer Schneckenleitner (SS16)

Isogeometric Analysis

Jan 20, 2017 9 / 58

э

Continuous eigenvalue problem formulation

Find eigenvalues $\lambda_\ell \in \mathbb{R}^+$ and eigenfunctions $u_\ell \in V$ for $\ell \in \mathbb{N}$, s.t., for all $w \in V$

$$\lambda_\ell(w, u_\ell) = a(w, u_\ell)$$

 $ightarrow \mathsf{0} < \lambda_1 \leq \lambda_2 \leq \dots$ and $(u_k, u_\ell) = \delta_{k\ell}$

$$\Rightarrow \|u_\ell\|_E^2 = \mathsf{a}(u_\ell, u_\ell) = \lambda_\ell \text{ and } \mathsf{a}(u_k, u_\ell) = 0, \ell \neq k$$

Rainer Schneckenleitner (SS16)

Discrete eigenvalue problem formulation

Find eigenvalues $\lambda^h_\ell \in \mathbb{R}^+$ and eigenfunctions $u^h_\ell \in V^h$, s.t., for all $w^h \in V^h$

$$\lambda_{\ell}^{h}(w^{h}, u_{\ell}^{h}) = a(w^{h}, u_{\ell}^{h})$$

$\begin{array}{l} \rightarrow 0 < \lambda_1^h \leq \lambda_2^h \leq \cdots \leq \lambda_N^h \text{ where } \dim(V^h) = N \text{ and } (u_k^h, u_\ell^h) = \delta_{k\ell} \\ \\ \Rightarrow \left\| u_\ell^h \right\|_E^2 = a(u_\ell^h, u_\ell^h) = \lambda_\ell^h \text{ and } a(u_k^h, u_\ell^h) = 0, \ell \neq k \end{array}$

- Comparison of $\{\lambda_\ell^h, u_\ell^h\}$ to $\{\lambda_\ell, u_\ell\}$ for $\ell = 1, \dots, N$ is important
- Based on "Pythagorean eigenvalue error theorem" as introduced by G. Strang and G. Fix

$$\frac{\lambda_{\ell}^{h} - \lambda_{\ell}}{\lambda_{\ell}} + \|u_{\ell}^{h} - u_{\ell}\|^{2} = \frac{\|u_{\ell}^{h} - u_{\ell}\|_{E}^{2}}{\lambda_{\ell}}, \qquad \forall \ell = 1, \dots, N$$



Figure: Graphical representation of the Pythagorean eigenvalue error theorem

Variational model problem

$$\lambda_{\ell}(w, u_{\ell}) = a(w, u_{\ell})$$

where

$$a(w, u_{\ell}) = \int_{0}^{1} \frac{\partial w}{\partial x} \frac{\partial u_{\ell}}{\partial x} dx$$
$$(w, u_{\ell}) = \int_{0}^{1} w u_{\ell} dx$$

and with homogeneous Dirichlet boundary conditions and $\Omega=(0,1)$

Rainer Schneckenleitner (SS16)

Isogeometric Analysis

Jan 20, 2017 13 / 58

For $\ell \in \mathbb{N}$,

• Eigenvalues are
$$\lambda_\ell = \pi^2 \ell^2$$

• Eigenfunctions are
$$u_\ell = \sqrt{2} sin(\ell \pi x)$$



Figure: Pythagorean eigenvalue error theorem budget and L^2 -eigenfunction error for quadratic elements. (a) C^1 -continuous B-splines; (b) C^0 continuous finite elements, N = 99



Figure: Pythagorean eigenvalue error theorem budget and L^2 -eigenfunction error for cubic elements. (a) C^2 -continuous B-splines; (b) C^0 continuous finite elements, N = 99

Rainer Schneckenleitner (SS16)

Jan 20, 2017 16 / 58



Figure: Pythagorean eigenvalue error theorem budget for quartic elements. (a) C^3 -continuous B-splines; (b) C^0 continuous finite elements, N = 99

Rainer Schneckenleitner (SS16)

≣▶ ◀ ≣▶ ≣ ∽) ແດ Jan 20, 2017 17 / 58

Image: Image:

Results of this section

- An accurate eigenvalue does not imply an accurate eigenfunction
- The higher the eigenvalue the greater the eigenfunction error is false
- B-splines yield better approximations
- "outlier" modes at the end of the spectrum
- "outlier" modes do not spoil the accuracy in the interior

The Elliptic boundary value problem

Rainer Schneckenleitner (SS16)

Isogeometric Analysis

Jan 20, 2017 19 / 58

The Elliptic boundary value problem

• Let
$$f \in (L^2(\Omega))^n$$

Continuous variational problem

Find $u \in V$ such that for all $w \in V$

$$a(w, u) = (w, f)$$

Discrete variational problem

Find $u^h \in V^h$ such that for all $w^h \in V^h$

$$a(w^h, u^h) = (w^h, f)$$

- Approximation of the continuous problem by the discrete one
- Eigenfunction expansion

$$u = \sum_{\ell=1}^\infty d_\ell u_\ell$$
 and $u^h = \sum_{\ell=1}^N d_\ell^h u_\ell^h$

where d_{ℓ} and d_{ℓ}^{h} denote the Fourier-coefficients of the continuous and the discrete solutions, respectively

•
$$\lambda_{\ell} d_{\ell} = f_{\ell} \stackrel{def.}{=} (u_{\ell}, f)$$

• $\lambda_{\ell}^{h} d_{\ell}^{h} = f_{\ell}^{h} \stackrel{def.}{=} (u_{\ell}^{h}, f)$
 $\Rightarrow u(x) = \sum_{\ell=1}^{\infty} \frac{f_{\ell}}{\lambda_{\ell}} u_{\ell}(x) \text{ and } u^{h}(x) = \sum_{\ell=1}^{N} \frac{f_{\ell}^{h}}{\lambda_{\ell}^{h}} u_{\ell}^{h}(x)$

< A

æ

• The error in the solution is

$$e(x) = u^{h}(x) - u(x) = \overline{e}(x) + e'(x)$$

with

$$\overline{e}(x) = \sum_{\ell=1}^{N} \overline{e}_{\ell}(x) = \sum_{\ell=1}^{N} \left(\frac{f_{\ell}^{h}}{\lambda_{\ell}^{h}} u_{\ell}^{h}(x) - \frac{f_{\ell}}{\lambda_{\ell}} u_{\ell}(x) \right)$$
$$e'(x) = \sum_{\ell=1}^{N} e'_{\ell}(x) = \sum_{\ell=N+1}^{\infty} \left(-\frac{f_{\ell}}{\lambda_{\ell}} u_{\ell}(x) \right)$$

Rainer Schneckenleitner (SS16)

э

• For a specific $\ell \in 1, \ldots, N$

$$\begin{split} \|\overline{\mathbf{e}}_{\ell}\| &\leq 2\frac{\|f\|}{\lambda_{\ell}} \left(\frac{\|\mathbf{e}_{\ell}\|_{E}}{\lambda_{\ell}^{1/2}} \left(1 + \frac{1}{2}\frac{\|\mathbf{e}_{\ell}\|_{E}}{\lambda_{\ell}^{1/2}}\right) \left(1 + \frac{\|\mathbf{e}_{\ell}\|_{E}^{2}}{\lambda_{\ell}}\right) + \frac{1}{2}\frac{\|\mathbf{e}_{\ell}\|_{E}^{2}}{\lambda_{\ell}}\right) \\ \|\overline{\mathbf{e}}_{\ell}\|_{E} &\leq 2\frac{\|f\|\|\mathbf{e}_{\ell}\|_{E}}{\lambda_{\ell}} \left(1 + \frac{\|\mathbf{e}_{\ell}\|_{E}}{\lambda_{\ell}^{1/2}} \left(1 + \frac{\|\mathbf{e}_{\ell}\|_{E}}{\lambda_{\ell}^{1/2}} + \frac{1}{2}\frac{\|\mathbf{e}_{\ell}\|_{E}^{2}}{\lambda_{\ell}}\right)\right) \end{split}$$

where
$$e_\ell = u_\ell^h - u_\ell$$

- Discrete solution can be large in error
- Elliptic boundary-value problems are usually forgiving

The Parabolic initial-value problem

• Let $f \in L^2((0, \mathcal{T}); (L^2(\Omega))^n)$ and $U \in (L^2(\Omega))^n)$ and $\mathcal{T} \in \mathbb{R}^+$

Continuous variational problem

Find $u \in V_T$ such that for all $w \in V$ and almost all $t \in (0, T)$

$$\langle w, \frac{\partial u}{\partial t}(t) \rangle + a(w, u(t)) = (w, f(t))$$

 $(w, u(0)) = (w, U)$

where $V_T := \{ v \in L^2((0, T); V) : \frac{\partial v}{\partial t} \in L^2((0, T); V^*) \}$ and $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ is the duality pairing

Rainer Schneckenleitner (SS16)

Semi-discrete variational problem

Find $u^h \in V^h_T$ such that for all $w^h \in V^h$ and almost all $t \in (0, T)$

$$\langle w^h, \frac{\partial u^h}{\partial t}(t) \rangle + a(w^h, u^h(t)) = (w^h, f(t))$$

 $(w^h, u^h(0)) = (w^h, U)$

where $V_T^h := \{ v \in L^2((0, T); V^h) : \frac{\partial v}{\partial t} \in L^2((0, T); V^{h*}) \}$ and $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ is the duality pairing

The Parabolic initial-value problem

$$u(t)=\sum_{\ell=1}^\infty d_\ell(t)u_\ell$$
 and $u^h(t)=\sum_{\ell=1}^N d^h_\ell(t)u^h_\ell$

yield

$$egin{aligned} d_\ell'(t) + \lambda_\ell d_\ell(t) &= f_\ell(t) \stackrel{def}{=} (u_\ell, f(t)) \ d_\ell(0) &= U_\ell \stackrel{def}{=} (u_\ell, U) \end{aligned}$$

and

$$egin{aligned} d_\ell'^h(t) + \lambda_\ell^h d_\ell^h(t) &= f_\ell^h(t) \stackrel{def}{=} (u_\ell^h, f(t)) \ d_\ell^h(0) &= U_\ell^h \stackrel{def}{=} (u_\ell^h, U) \end{aligned}$$

æ

• Solving the ordinary differential equations yield

$$d_\ell(t) = U_\ell exp(-\lambda_\ell t) + \int_0^t exp(-\lambda_\ell (t- au)) f_\ell(au) d au$$

and

$$d^h_\ell(t) = U^h_\ell exp(-\lambda^h_\ell t) + \int_0^t exp(-\lambda^h_\ell(t- au)) f^h_\ell(au) d au$$

• From the Fourier-coefficients, we obtain

$$u(x,t) = \sum_{\ell=1}^{\infty} \left(U_{\ell} exp(-\lambda_{\ell} t) + \int_{0}^{t} exp(-\lambda_{\ell} (t-\tau)) f_{\ell}(\tau) d\tau \right) u_{\ell}(x)$$

and

$$u^{h}(x,t) = \sum_{\ell=1}^{N} \left(U^{h}_{\ell} \exp(-\lambda^{h}_{\ell} t) + \int_{0}^{t} \exp(-\lambda^{h}_{\ell} (t-\tau)) f^{h}_{\ell}(\tau) d\tau \right) u^{h}_{\ell}(x)$$

• The error is $e(x,t) = u^h(x,t) - u(x,t) = \overline{e}(x,t) + e'(x,t)$

Rainer Schneckenleitner (SS16)

- $\overline{e}(x, t)$ is caused by eigenvalue and eigenfunction errors
- Errors in decay rates are only due to eigenvalue errors
- Initial error is due to projection error
- Important are times up to $t=\mathcal{O}(\lambda_\ell^{-1})$
- Similar for f

•
$$\|\overline{\mathbf{e}}_{\ell}\|
ightarrow \mathsf{0}$$
 as $-\lambda_{\ell} t
ightarrow -\infty$

• $\|\overline{e}_{\ell}\|_{E}
ightarrow 0$ as $-\lambda_{\ell}t
ightarrow -\infty$

The Hyperbolic initial-value problem

Rainer Schneckenleitner (SS16)

Isogeometric Analysis

Jan 20, 2017 33 / 58

• Let
$$f\in L^2((0,T);(L^2(\Omega))^n)$$
, $U_0\in (L^2(\Omega))^n)$, $U_1\in V^*$ and $T\in \mathbb{R}^+$

Continuous variational problem

Find $u \in V_T$ such that for all $w \in V$ and almost all $t \in (0, T)$

$$\langle w, \frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial t^2}(t) \rangle + a(w, u(t)) = (w, f(t))$$

$$(w, u(0)) = (w, U_0)$$

$$\langle w, \frac{\partial u}{\partial t}(0) \rangle = \langle w, U_1 \rangle$$

where $V_T := \{ v \in L^2((0, T); V) : \frac{\partial v}{\partial t} \in L^2((0, T); (L^2(\Omega))^n) \text{ and } \frac{\partial^2 v}{\partial t^2} \in L^2((0, T); V^*) \}$ and $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ is the duality pairing

Semi-discrete variational problem

Find $u^h \in V_T^h$ such that for all $w^h \in V^h$ and almost all $t \in (0, T)$

$$\langle w^{h}, \frac{\partial^{2} u^{h}}{\partial t^{2}}(t) \rangle + a(w^{h}, u^{h}(t)) = (w^{h}, f(t))$$

$$(w^{h}, u^{h}(0)) = (w^{h}, U_{0})$$

$$\langle w^{h}, \frac{\partial u^{h}}{\partial t}(0) \rangle = \langle w^{h}, U_{1} \rangle$$

where $V_T^h := \{ v \in L^2((0, T); V^h) : \frac{\partial v}{\partial t} \in L^2((0, T); V^h) \text{ and } \frac{\partial^2 v}{\partial t^2} \in L^2((0, T); V^{h*}) \}$ and $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ is the duality pairing

Rainer Schneckenleitner (SS16)

• Proceeding as in the previous chapter yield

$$egin{aligned} &d_\ell''(t)+\lambda_\ell d_\ell(t)=f_\ell(t)\stackrel{def}{=}(u_\ell,f(t))\ &d_\ell(0)=U_{0\ell}\stackrel{def}{=}(u_\ell,U_0)\ &d_\ell'(0)=U_{1\ell}\stackrel{def}{=}\langle u_\ell,U_1
angle \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} d_{\ell}^{\prime\prime h}(t) + \lambda_{\ell}^{h} d_{\ell}^{h}(t) &= f_{\ell}^{h}(t) \stackrel{def}{=} (u_{\ell}^{h}, f(t)) \\ d_{\ell}^{h}(0) &= U_{0\ell}^{h} \stackrel{def}{=} (u_{\ell}^{h}, U_{0}) \\ d_{\ell}^{\prime h}(0) &= U_{1\ell}^{h} \stackrel{def}{=} \langle u_{\ell}^{h}, U_{1} \rangle \end{aligned}$$

• The solutions of these ordinary differential equations are

$$d_\ell(t) = U_{0\ell} cos(\omega_\ell t) + rac{U_{1\ell}}{\omega_\ell} sin(\omega_\ell t) + rac{1}{\omega_\ell} \int_0^t sin(\omega_\ell (t- au)) f_\ell(au) d au$$

and

$$d_{\ell}^{h}(t) = U_{0\ell}^{h} cos(\omega_{\ell}^{h}t) + \frac{U_{1\ell}^{h}}{\omega_{\ell}^{h}} sin(\omega_{\ell}^{h}t) + \frac{1}{\omega_{\ell}^{h}} \int_{0}^{t} sin(\omega_{\ell}^{h}(t-\tau)) f_{\ell}^{h}(\tau) d\tau$$

with
$$\omega_\ell = (\lambda_\ell)^{1/2}$$
 and $\omega_\ell^h = (\lambda_\ell^h)^{1/2}$

- Plug the Fourier-coefficients into eigenfunction expansion
- $e(x,t) = u^h(x,t) u(x,t) = \overline{e}(x,t) + e'(x,t)$
- Modal error can be bounded by eigenfunctions and eigenvalue errors
- Modal errors oscillate in time

Numerical investigation of hyperbolic approximations

For $U_0 = sin(51\pi x)$, $U_1 = 0$ and f = 0 the exact solution to the hyperbolic initial-value problem is

 $u(x,t) = \sin(51\pi x)\cos(51\pi t)$

with solution coefficients

$$U_{0\ell} = \begin{cases} 1/\sqrt{2} & \text{if } \ell = 51 \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}, U_{1\ell} = 0 \text{ and } f_\ell = 0$$
 on $\Omega = (0, 1)$

• Approximation is given by

$$u^{h}(x,t) = \sum_{\ell=1}^{N} \{ U^{h}_{0\ell} cos(\omega^{h}_{\ell} t) + \frac{U^{h}_{1\ell}}{\omega^{h}_{\ell}} sin(\omega^{h}_{\ell} t) + \frac{1}{\omega^{h}_{\ell}} \int_{0}^{t} sin(\omega^{h}_{\ell} (t-\tau)) f^{h}_{\ell}(\tau) d\tau \} u^{h}_{\ell}(x)$$

• C³-continuous quartic B-splines and C⁰-continuous quartic finite elements

The Hyperbolic initial-value problem



Figure: Initial condition coefficients $U_{0\ell}^h$ for C^3 -cont. quartic B-splines(left) and C^0 -cont. quartic FE-solutions(right), N = 99

• Single wave and composition of two different waves

Rainer Schneckenleitner (SS16)



Figure: Exact and numerical solutions for t = 0, 0.25/51, 0.5/51, 0.75/51, 1/51, N = 99

Image: Image:



Figure: Exact and numerical solutions for t = 10, 10.25/51, 10.5/51, 10.75/51, 11/51, N = 99

Rainer Schneckenleitner (SS16)

Image: Image:

Jan 20, 2017 43 / 58

э

Own results

Rainer Schneckenleitner (SS16)

Isogeometric Analysis

Jan 20, 2017 44 / 58

글 🛌 😑

・ロト ・回ト ・ヨト・





Figure: Eigenvalue error for polynomial degree 2 and with C^1 -continuity, N = 100

Rainer Schneckenleitner (SS16)



Figure: Eigenvalue error for polynomial degree 3 and with C^1 and C^2 -continuity, respectively, N = 100



Figure: Eigenvalue error for polynomial degree 3 and with C^1 and C^2 -continuity, respectively, N = 100



Figure: Eigenvalue error for polynomial degree 4 and with C^1 and C^3 -continuity, respectively, N = 100



Figure: Eigenvalue error for polynomial degree 4 and with C^1 and C^3 -continuity, respectively, N = 100



Figure: Eigenvalue error for polynomial degree 5 and with C^1 and C^4 -continuity, respectively N = 50, 100



Figure: Eigenvalue error for polynomial degree 5 and with C^1 and C^4 -continuity, respectively, N = 50, 100



Figure: Eigenvalue error for polynomial degree 6 and with C^3 and C^5 -continuity, respectively N = 50, 100



Figure: Eigenvalue error for polynomial degree 6 and with C^3 and C^5 -continuity, respectively, N = 50, 100

Rainer Schneckenleitner (SS16)



Figure: Eigenvalue error for polynomial degree 5 and 6 with C^3 and C^4 -continuity, respectively, N = 50

Rainer Schneckenleitner (SS16)

Jan 20, 2017 54 / 58



Figure: Eigenvalue error for polynomial degree 5 and 6 with C^3 and C^4 -continuity, respectively, N = 75

Rainer Schneckenleitner (SS16)

Jan 20, 2017 55 / 58



Figure: Eigenvalue error for polynomial degree 5 and 6 with C^3 and C^4 -continuity, respectively, N = 100

- First considered the elliptic eigenvalue problem
- Then looked at corresponding BVP, parabolic IVP and hyperbolic IVP
- Solution errors can be expressed in therms of eigenvalue and eigenfunction errors
- B-spline eigenvalue spectrum does not have optical branches
- B-spline eigenfunction error is indistinguishable in L^2 -norm
- B-spline approximations are much more accurate
- Own results for eigenvalue error for different p and r

References

- J. A. Evans, T. J. Hughes, and A. Reali. Finite element and NURBS approximations of eigenvalue, boundary-value, and initial-value problems, pages 290–320. Elsevier, 2014.
- [2] G. Strang and G. Fix. An Analysis of the Finite Element Method. Wellesley-Cambridge Press, second edition, 2008.