

TUTORIAL

“Computational Mechanics”

to the lecture

“Numerical Methods in Continuum Mechanics 1”

Tutorial 08

Date: Thursday, 19 May 2016

Time : 10¹⁵ – 11⁰⁰

Room : K 001A

22 Let us consider the problem $S\underline{X} = \underline{F}$, where

$$S = \begin{pmatrix} (A - A_0)A_0^{-1}A & (A - A_0)A_0^{-1}B^T \\ BA_0^{-1}(A - A_0) & BA_0^{-1}B^T + C \end{pmatrix}, \quad \underline{X} = \begin{pmatrix} \underline{u} \\ \underline{\lambda} \end{pmatrix}, \quad \underline{F} = \begin{pmatrix} (A - A_0)A_0^{-1}\underline{f} \\ BA_0^{-1}\underline{f} - \underline{g} \end{pmatrix}.$$

(see formula (61) in Chapter 2 of the Lectures). Write down in detail (for \underline{u}_k and $\underline{\lambda}_k$) the preconditioned Richardson-method

$$\bar{S} \frac{\underline{X}_{k+1} - \underline{X}_k}{\tau} + S\underline{X}_k = \underline{F}, \quad (3.33)$$

with

$$\bar{S} = \begin{pmatrix} A - A_0 & 0 \\ 0 & D \end{pmatrix},$$

where D is a good preconditioner for the Schur complement $BA^{-1}B^T + C$, c.f. spectral equivalence inequalities (49) from Chapter 2 of the Lectures !

23 Describe the relation of the preconditioned Richardson Method (3.33) with $A_0 = \gamma G$ and the Arrow-Hurwicz Algorithm (see formula (54) in Chapter 2 of the Lectures) !

24* Consider the discrete mixed variational problem: Find $(u_h, \lambda_h) \in X_h \times \Lambda_h$ such that

$$a(u_h, v_h) + b(v_h, \lambda_h) = \langle F, v_h \rangle \quad \forall v_h \in X_h, \quad (3.34)$$

$$b(u_h, \mu_h) = \langle G, \mu_h \rangle \quad \forall \mu_h \in \Lambda_h. \quad (3.35)$$

Let $\{\phi^{(i)}\}$ be a basis for X_h and $\{\varphi^{(k)}\}$ be a basis for Λ_h . Then, the discrete solutions u_h and λ_h can be represented by

$$u_h := \sum_i u_i \phi^{(i)}, \quad \lambda_h := \sum_k \lambda_k \varphi^{(k)},$$

and the problem (3.34)–(3.35) can equivalently written as: Find $(\underline{u}, \underline{\lambda})$ such that

$$\begin{pmatrix} A & B^T \\ B & 0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \underline{u} \\ \underline{\lambda} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \underline{f} \\ \underline{g} \end{pmatrix}, \quad (3.36)$$

where

$$A = (a(\phi^{(j)}, \phi^{(i)}))_{ij}, \quad B = (b(\phi^{(j)}, \varphi^{(k)}))_{kj}, \quad \underline{f} = (\langle F, \phi^{(i)} \rangle)_i, \quad \underline{g} = (\langle G, \varphi^{(k)} \rangle)_k.$$

Show that under the assumptions

1. the bilinear form a is symmetric, elliptic and bounded in the whole space X (e. g., Stokes problem),
2. the bilinear form b is bounded, i. e.,

$$|b(v, \mu)| \leq \beta_2 \|v\|_X \|\mu\|_\Lambda,$$

3. the discrete inf-sup condition is satisfied, i. e.,

$$\inf_{0 \neq \mu_h \in \Lambda_h} \sup_{0 \neq v_h \in X_h} \frac{b(v_h, \mu_h)}{\|v_h\|_X \|\mu_h\|_\Lambda} \geq \tilde{\beta}_1 > 0,$$

where $\tilde{\beta}_1$ is independent of h ,

the matrix $M = ((\varphi^{(l)}, \varphi^{(k)})_\Lambda)_{kl}$ is a preconditioner for the Schur-complement $S = BA^{-1}B^T$, i. e., there exist positive constants $\underline{\gamma}$ and $\bar{\gamma}$ such that

$$\underline{\gamma}M \leq S \leq \bar{\gamma}M.$$

Hint: Since a is bounded and elliptic on the whole space, we can define $\|\cdot\|_X := a(\cdot, \cdot)^{1/2}$. Show that

$$(BA^{-1}B^T \underline{\mu}, \underline{\mu})_{l_2} = \sup_{0 \neq v_h \in X_h} \frac{b(v_h, \mu_h)^2}{\|v_h\|_X^2}.$$

Then, use the discrete inf-sup condition and the boundedness for $b(\cdot, \cdot)$.